

NAVAJO NATION JUDICIAL BRANCH

FISCAL YEAR 2023

FIRST QUARTER REPORT

October 1, 2022 - December 31, 2022 https://courts.navajo-nsn.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE	3
II. CONTACT PERSON	
III. Vision, Mission and Strategic Goals	
IV. JUDICIAL BRANCH DIRECTORY	
V. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS	
A. Administration	
B. Human Resources ("HR")	
C. Navajo Nation Integrated Justice Information Sharing Progject (NNIJISP)	
D. Grants Administration	
E. Information Technology	
F. Probation & Parole Services	
VI. PEACEMAKING PROGRAM	
VII. COURTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION	27
A. SUPREME COURT	27
B. ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	29
C. CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT	36
D. CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT & Pueblo Pintado Court	37
E. DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT	41
F. DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT	42
G. KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT	43
H. RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	45
I. SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT	49
J. TO'HAJIILEE - ALAMO JUDICIAL DISTRICT	52
K. TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT	54
L. WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT	57
VIII. JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSION	61
IX. CASELOAD AND STATISTICAL DATA	
X. JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES	
XI. JUDICIAL BRANCH FINES AND FEES COLLECTION	

I. MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE



Fiscal Year 2023 First Quarterly Message for October, November, December, 2022

Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne (Aláájí Hashkééjí Nahat'á Nataani)

Yá'át'ééh, Shí Diné Doo Shíghéí, Ado Nihíí Nahata'jíí Nataani Honorable 25th Navajo Nation Council Delegates, Doo Aláájí Hózhóójí Nahat'á Dájiz dah'yígí Dr. Buu Nygren, Doo Richelle Montoya Akádó Dájiz dah'yígí, Doo Pro Tem Otto Tso, Aláájí Nahata'jíí Nataani Dájiz dah'yígí, Doo distinguished guests. I respectfully present you the Hashkééjí Nahat'á ("Judicial Branch") First Quarterly Report for Fiscal Year 2023: Ghạạj' (October), Planting of Late Crops; Niትch'its'ósí (November), Ripening of Early Crops; Niትch'itsoh (December), Ripening of Late Crops.

The Hashkééjí Nahat'á ("Judicial Branch") begins with a *WELCOME* and congratulations to each of you, the 25th Navajo Nation Council, President Dr. Buu Nygren, Vice President Richelle Montoya, and Pro Tem Speaker Otto Tso of the 25th Navajo Nation Council. The Judicial Branch invites the opportunity to talk with each of you on the Judicial Branch needs, goals, plans and challenges as you begin this term, and throughout your terms and administration. We look forward to working with the Judicial Branch oversite Law and Order Committee and with leadership on issues that greatly affect the Navajo Nation. It is an exciting time as leaders to begin and continue the work for the Navajo people. It takes the support and collaboration of the Navajo Nation Judicial, Executive, and Legislative branches to build confidence and successes in government. I welcome discussions with you to address common issues comprehensively for the betterment of our judicial system.

We also *WELCOME* our Diné, our families, friends, and neighbors for joining us. The Judicial Branch is honored and blessed to be the flagship of indigenous courts throughout the world. Upholding the sovereignty of the Navajo Nation is the mainstay of the court system, probation and parole services, and Peacemaking Program. We have a long history of judicial independence, but we rely on our leadership in the Executive and Legislative Branches to allocate, advocate, and award adequate funding so that we can judiciously make decision on

court cases, decisions in probation and parole or peacemaking. We need your help to ensure that the Judicial Branch has the resources it needs to carry out our mandates as envisioned by decades of Navajo leadership at all levels of government. Sustainable funding is a bedrock for maintaining the Judicial Branch's mandate to serve justice for all. It is with great hope and expectation that the 25th Navajo Nation Council and President Buu Nygren will work with the Judicial Branch to grant us a fair share of General Funds for next year's fiscal 2024 budget. I look forward to working with President Buu Nygren and Pro Tem Speaker Otto Tso on common interests for the Judicial Branch general funds budget.

The Judicial Branch currently operates under the 2023 fiscal year general funds budget as well as federal external funds. The Judicial Branch's District Courts, Peacemaking, Probation and Parole Services, and the Supreme Court staff developed a sustainable, fiscally responsible, and principled 2023 budget detailed with performance criteria and indicators for the 2023 budget as required by 12 N.N.C. 850(C). We will soon begin budget preparations for fiscal year 2024 general funds.

To best serve our people and to support continuing judicial independence, the Judicial Branch has a dire need for jurists. We currently have 14 courts in the Navajo Nation. There are 11 district courts: in Utah at Aneth; in New Mexico at Shiprock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Alamo, and To'hajiilee: in Arizona at Dilkon, Window Rock, Kayenta, Chinle, and Tuba C1ity. In addition, there are 2 circuit courts at Dzil'Yijiin, AZ. and Pueblo Pintado, New Mexico; and 1 Supreme Court in Window Rock, Navajo Nation spanning our wonderful land.

We ask for patience from you and your constituents as we continue to tackle the caseloads at the district court and appellate levels. We risk delaying justice for our people when we do not have the resources to move their cases along expeditiously.

The Judicial Branch is dedicated to upholding our independence, provide resolution of cases as quickly as possible. It is our goal that every case before the Navajo Nation courts be heard in a reasonable amount of time and that individuals are served in the best possible way. We are doing the best we can with the resources we have but we require necessary personnel and safe facilities for the public we serve to do our jobs of dispute resolution and restoration of hozhó for our people.

The 1st Quarterly report is prepared and highlighted by each of the 18 units within the Judicial Branch: District courts, Supreme Court, Peacemaking Program, and Probation and Parole Services. We are happy to share it with our Diné, our relatives, the Legislative and Executive branches, partners, and colleagues. 'Ahéhee'

Respectfully, JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice of the Navajo Nation

II. CONTACT PERSON

Honorable JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation Post Office Box 520

Window Rock, Arizona 86515

Telephone: (928) 871-7669 Fax: (928) 871-6866

Website: https://courts.navajo-nsn.gov

III. VISION, MISSION AND STRATEGIC GOALS

VISION

It is our vision that the present judicial system, consisting of an adversarial-style tribal court system modeled on Anglo courts, a peacemaking system modeled on Diné original dispute resolution methods, and Probation and Parole Services, will fully embody the values and processes of the Navajo People, including family and clan-centered Navajo values. Our justice system as a whole will truly reflect the heart and soul of the Diné. It will be one that the People can recognize as their own and fully participate in the spirit of nábináhaazláago.

MISSION

The Judicial Branch will provide stability in the Navajo Nation government by providing court, peacemaking, and probation and parole services, to adjudicate cases, resolve disputes, rehabilitate individuals and families, restore harmony, educate the public, agencies, services and other governments in Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, and protect persons and property pursuant to Navajo Nation laws, customs, traditions, and applicable federal laws. Pursuant to Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, the Judicial Branch will carefully develop a justice system that fully embodies the traditional values and processes of the Navajo People.

STRATEGIC GOALS

One: As the Navajo Nation court, peacemaking, and probation and parole system, we

will ensure the continued provision of efficient, fair, and respectful judicial services.

Two: We will ensure access to the judicial system by the public.

Three: We will address the infrastructure needed to maximize partnerships across

branches, agencies, and communities.

Four: We will develop a judicial system in accordance with Diné bi beenahaz' áanii that

fully incorporates Navajo values and processes.

Five: We will address facilities needs.

IV. JUDICIAL BRANCH DIRECTORY

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

P.O. Box 520 Window Rock, AZ 86515

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(928) 871-7669 / FAX (928) 871-6866

ADMINISTRATION

(928) 871-6762 / FAX (928) 871-6761

HUMAN RESOURCES

(928) 871-7023 / FAX (928) 871-6862

FISCAL SERVICES

(928) 871-7026 / FAX (928) 871-6761

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

(928) 871-6765 / FAX (928) 871-6761

NAVAJO NATION PROBATION SERVICES

P.O. Box 520 Window Rock, AZ 86515

WINDOW ROCK, AZ 86515

Lucinda A. Yellowhair, Chief Probation Officer

NAVAJO NATION PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Elaine J. Henderson, Program Coordinator

SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Hon. JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice Hon. Eleanor Shirley, Associate Justice

Hon. Tina Tsinigine, Associate Justice Corina Y. Smith, Supreme Court Clerk

ALAMO / TO'HAJIILEE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamo Court P.O. Box 5458

Alamo, NM 87825

Hon. William J.J. Platero, Judge

Regina C. Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

To'hajiilee Court

P.O. Box 3101-A

Canoncito, NM 87026

Hon. William J.J. Platero, Judge

Regina C. Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 320

Montezuma Creek, UT 84534

Hon. Irene S. Black, Judge

Bryan Parrish, Court Administrator

JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice

Stephen B. Etsitty, Administrative Director of the Courts

E-mail stephenbetsitty@navajo-nsn.gov

Cheron S. Watchman, Director of Human Resources

E-mail cswatchman@navajo-nsn.gov

Yvonne A. Gorman, Financial Services Manager

E-mail <u>vagorman@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Ben Mariano, Information Technology Manager

E-mail <u>benmariano@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Telephone (928) 871-6625

FAX (928) 871-7566

E-mail <u>layellowhair@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Telephone (928) 871-6388

FAX (928) 871-6120

E-mail elaineihenderson@navajo-nsn.gov

Telephone (928) 871-6763

FAX (928) 871-7016

Telephone (575) 854-2668 or 854-2669

FAX (575) 854-2660

E-mail williamjplatero@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

Telephone (505) 908-2817 or 2818

FAX (505) 908-2819

E-mail williamjplatero@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

Telephone (435) 651-3545 FAX (435) 651-3546

E-mail irenesblack@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail bryanparrish@navajo-nsn.gov

CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 547 Chinle, AZ 86503

> Hon. Cynthia Thompson, Judge Vanessa Mescal, Court Administrator

District Court (928) 674-2070/2071

Family Court (928) 674-2084

FAX (928) 674-2089

E-mail cynthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail cynthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov

CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT AND PUEBLO PINTADO CIRCUIT COURT

P.O. Box 6

Crownpoint, NM 87313

Hon. Leonard Livingston, Judge Jamie S. Mike, Court Administrator District/Family Court (505) 786-2072 FAX (505) 786-2086

E-mail leonardlivingston@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail <u>imike@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

HC 63 Box 787 Winslow, AZ 86047

Hon. Neomi Gilmore, Judge

Darlene LaFrance, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (928) 657-8141,8142

FAX (928) 657-8146

E-mail ngilmore@navajo-nsn.gov E-mail <u>dvlafrance@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 129 Pinon, AZ 86510

> Hon. Cynthia Thompson, Judge Arlene Lee, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (928) 725-3781

FAX (928) 725-3786

E-mail cynthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail alee@navajo-nsn.gov

KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 2700 Kayenta, AZ 86033

Hon. Letitia Stover, Judge

Lavonne K. Yazzie, Court Administrator

District Court (928) 697-5549 Family Court (928) 697-5550

FAX (928) 697-5546

Email: Istover@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail lavonneyazzie@navajo-nsn.gov

RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 309 Ramah, NM 87321

Hon. Malcolm Laughing, Judge Esther Jose, Court Administrator

Telephone (505) 775-3218 FAX (505) 775-3399

E-mail mlaughing@navajo-nsn.gov E-mail estherjose@navajo-nsn.gov

SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 1168 Shiprock, NM 87420

> Hon. Genevieve Woody, Judge Ethel S. Laughing, Court Administrator

District Court (505) 368-1270 Family Court (505) 368-1287

FAX (505) 368-1288

E-mail <u>genevievewoody@navajo-nsn.gov</u> E-mail <u>ethellaughing@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 725 Tuba City, AZ 86045

> Hon. Cecelia Tallman, Judge Alice Huskie, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (928) 283-3140

FAX (928) 283-3158

E-mail ctallman@navajo-nsn.gov E-mail <u>alicehuskie@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 5520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Hon. Malcolm Begay, Judge Hon. Victor J. Clyde, Judge Vacant, Court Administrator District Court (928) 871-6962/6984 Family Court (928) 871-6471/7562

FAX (928) 871-7560

E-mail malcolmbegay@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail vclyde@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail

V. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

During the first quarter (October – December 2022) in FY 2023, the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC") completed the following:

A. ADMINISTRATION

Emergency Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

The Administrative Director of the Courts is the designated Continuity of Operation Plan ("COOP") Coordinator, and works with a designated team of executive and essential staff to implement the COOP for Judicial Branch operations during the public health emergency. The goal is to maintain essential services to uphold the courts' statutory responsibilities, to address emergency matters that come before the courts, and to conduct essential court-related functions, all while providing for safe working conditions and mitigating the spread of Coronavirus on the Navajo Nation. In order to keep personnel safe, the branch is now operating with an in-person workforce and a teleworking workforce. The branch has established teleworking policies, procedures and tools. The actions authorized by Administrative Order 13-2022, issued on April 22, 20220, allow for expanded services across all courts and programs; to support the entire workforce so they can do their jobs from Judicial Branch facilities or their home locations, and to maximize the in-person staff presence in the Judicial Branch facilities in order to directly serve the public. Judges and managers have the discretion to allow the Public to re-enter Judicial Branch facilities based on approved plans and applicable Navajo Nation Public Health Emergency Orders. Improvements in information technology, communication devices and internet-based services is on-going in order for court management and court-related programmatic functions to continue.

Facilities and Closure/Devolution/Alternate Facility

This first quarter the following facilities were temporarily closed due to a potential or confirmed COVID-19 exposures:

*On October 28, 2022, the Ramah Judicial District court building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The judicial district decided not to devolve, however it relied on Alternative Facility (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The court building was cleaned on October 30, 2022 and re-entry into the court building was approved on November 1, 2022.

*On November 2, 2022, the Peacemaking Program building in Window Rock, AZ was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The program decided not to devolve, however they relied on Alternative Facilities (teleworking) for the continuation of program services and functions. The building was cleaned on November 9, 2022 and re-entry into the Peacemaking Program building was approved the same day.

*On November 4, 2022, the Aneth Judicial District court building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The court decided not to devolve, however it relied on

Alternative Facility (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The court building was cleaned on November 7, 2022, and re-entry into the court building was approved the same day.

*On November 5, 2022, the Administrative Offices of the Courts (AOC) building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The AOC and the Window Rock Judicial District decided not to devolve, however they relied on Alternative Facilities (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The AOC building was cleaned on November 7, 2022 and re-entry into the AOC building was approved the same day.

*On November 8, 2022, the Window Rock Judicial District court building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The court decided to devolve, and it relied on Dilkon Judicial District for the continuation of court services and functions. The court building was cleaned on November 14, 2022, and the court was reconstituted by Administrative Order 39-2022 on November 16, 2022.

*On November 17, 2022, the Aneth Judicial District court building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The court decided not to devolve, however they relied on Alternative Facilities (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The court building was cleaned on November 21, 2022 and re-entry into the court building was approved the same day.

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, it is imperative to understand the changing guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons, for the Coronavirus variants, the new vaccine/testing policy requirements, and for cleaning, disinfecting COVID-19 contaminated facilities provided by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the Navajo Nation Health Command Operations Center (HCOC). The current guidance allows for quick responses to emergency exposures inside Navajo Nation facilities from the COVID-19 virus, coupled with new self-monitoring guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons. The cleaning and disinfecting of a building or the affected work spaces can be accomplished by staff, and re-entry into a clean and safe building can occur much faster at this time. This allows for a quicker resumption of services by the courts and programs.

When a Judicial Branch facility closes due to a report of a potential or confirmed exposure, it is out of an abundance of caution to protect our personnel, and to do our best to mitigate the spread of the Coronavirus and its variants in our communities where we live and work.

<u>Accomplishments</u>

Administrative Director facilitates bi-monthly Branch Teleconferences to update all Business Unit managers and judges regarding COOP issues, health and safety information, and priority administrative issues. Major accomplishments for this quarter include: progress on the Window Rock Judicial District Modular Building project, reviewing rising costs of construction materials and finalized the floorplan and designs; and completed the development of Judicial Branch presentation materials and presentations for the orientation to the incoming 25th Navajo Nation Council council delegates.

This quarter, Administrative Director, in the capacity of COOP Coordinator, approved requests from the judicial district/programs to move into Phase Two and Three of the re-opening process (Roadmap to Full Capacity). Under Administrative Order 13-2022, all judges have the discretion to hear all case types and to hold in-person hearings. Program managers have the discretion to hold in-person meetings, client services, etc. The Window Rock Judicial District and Administrative Offices of the Courts remain in Phase Three. Nine (9) more districts/programs are also in Phase 3, two (2) districts/programs are in Phase 2 and pending to go to Phase 3, two (2) districts are in Phase 1 pending to go to Phase 2, and one district is pending to enter into Phase 1.

B. Human Resources ("HR")

Recruitment

- 1. To fill vacant judge and staff positions, HR advertises on the Judicial Branch and law school websites, social media sites, local newspapers and radio stations, local / state organizations, and with national tribal organizations.
- 2. Judicial Branch (JB) staff also make one-on-one contacts regarding vacancies.

Judge Applicants

On October 19, 2022, the Navajo Nation Council confirmed the 2-year probationary appointment of District Court Judge Cecilia Tallman by a vote of 22 in favor and 0 opposed.

Selection during FY 23 First Quarter

During this quarter, HR processed new hire, resignation and retirement personnel action forms (PAF), as well as change notice PAFs (introductory to regular-status).

- 1. Two (2) positions were filled.
- 2. Nine (9) employees transitioned from 90-day introductory to regular status.
- 3. Two (2) retirements
- 4. One (1) employee resigned
- 5. Two (2) terminations
- 6. One (1) ended temporary employment with the branch

The JB programs and districts engaged in tele- or video-conference or in-person interviews to recruit and select for the vacancies. The initial onboarding process for new hires is conducted through virtual or in-person meetings. When the new employee reports to work in-person, the districts/programs conduct in-person trainings for new hires.

Training

The Training Manager led the following trainings this quarter:

October 2022		# of Participants
1. Chinle District Court Ju	dicial Branch Employee Pol	ices/Procedures (JBEPP) 18
2. Ramah District court JE	BEPP class	10
3. TACONE Trauma Care	e (2 classes)	21

4.	WR/AOC JBEPP Class	25
5.	Verbal De-Escalation and Crisis Communication	17
6.	Comp Time Training to Peacemaking Program	20
7.	Judicial Branch & Org Structure for Judge Tallman	6
8.	<u> </u>	6
9.		6
10.	Judges/Justices Personnel Rules for Judge Tallman	6
	Judges/Justices Performance Eval Process for Judge Tallman	6
	Pro Bono Process for Judge Tallman	6
13.	Peacemaking Program Overview for Judge Tallman	5
14.	Family Law, Vulnerable Adult Protection Act	
	& DV Proceedings for Judge Tallman	4
15.	JBEPP Training for Judge Tallman	3
	New Hire Onboarding Training for Judge Tallman	3
	Sexual Harassment Awareness Training for Judge Tallman	1
18.	Health Commitment Act & Adult Guardianship for Judge Tallman	6
19.	Forcible Detainers & Repossessions for Judge Tallman	6
20.	Legal Advice vs. Legal Info, Ex Parte Communication for Judge Tallm	nan6
	NN Court Procedures and Bench Skills for Judge Tallman	6
	Civil Traffic Proceedings for Judge Tallman	5
	NN Sovereign Immunity Act for Judge Tallman	5
	Warrants training for Judge Tallman	5
	Search, Seizure & Criminal Procedures for Judge Tallman	5
	Overtime & Comp Time – Crownpoint Court	3
<u>No</u>	vember 2022	
1.		gers 20
2.	ABBA Training for Judge Tallman	6
3.	Selection Authority Process – Supreme Court Justices	5
4.	Selection Authority Process – Crownpoint Court	3
De	cember 2022	
	JBEPP Class: Shiprock Court District	18
	25 th NNC Orientation – HR Office, Judge/Justices Selection	40
	& Evaluation Process	
3.	JBEPP Class	6
-	JBEPP – Leave Training to Window Rock Court	14
	Selection Process – Probation/Parole Svcs Management Team	5
	Annual Evaluation Process – Peacemaking Prgm (PMP) Management	3
7.		3
	<u> </u>	33

Policy Development

The Training Manager participates in two (2) workgroups that are revising Judicial Branch policies:

1. Judicial Branch Court Safety Policy

2. Training Policy

Other

- 1. The HR Office continues to work with staff regarding possible or actual COVID-19 exposures. To date, no exposures resulting in positive COVID-19 cases have occurred onsite at any of our facilities. However, out of an abundance of caution, even if there is a possible exposure to JB staff and facilities, the HR Office works with the district/program leadership, the Health & Safety Advisor, and the COOP Coordinator on timeframes for closing court facilities if necessary, arranging for sister court assistance to continue operating if a court building is temporarily closed, i.e. accepting fax filings. If there is a possible exposure to staff at the worksites, HR also conducts contact tracing.
- 2. The HR Office provides customer service to applicants during the application, recruitment and selection process; and, provides guidance to staff regarding employee policies and procedures. HR assists supervisors with employee relations matters; completes bi-weekly master timesheets on behalf of the JB; and, assists JB leadership and management in other areas as assigned, e.g. interbranch projects, external grant applications.
- 3. The HR Office assists the Office of the Chief Justice with probationary judge/justice evaluations.
- 4. Because the Judicial Conduct Commission ("JCC") does not have its own staff, a couple JB staff, including the HR Director, assist JCC in fulfilling its duties and responsibilities.
- 5. The HR Director is also assigned to represent the branch on the Motor Vehicle Review Board (MVRB). The board meets monthly.
- 6. The HR Director is also assigned to represent the branch on the Employee Housing Committee. The Committee meets monthly.
- 7. The HR Director also attends the Retirement Plan Administration Committee (RPAC) meetings when the Chief Justice is unavailable. The RPAC meets monthly.
- 8. The JB is engaged in a compensation study. The HR Office is taking the lead in working with the contractor to complete this project.

C. NAVAJO NATION INTEGRATED JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING PROJECT (NNIJISP) Accomplishments

The Budget and Finance Committee approved for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 NNIJISP amount of \$375,000. NNIJIISP has a budget for internet fees, maintenance and support contract, and professional trainings only, and cannot purchase equipment for NNIJISP partners. Process payment documents for fifty (50) MiFi devices and seven (7) points of service for internet connection for Navajo Nation Judicial Branch Courts, Prosecutors Office, Public Defender Office, Division of Public Safety and Division of Social Services/Family Services.

- 1. Current tracking and monitoring of active contracts; Sacred Wind Communications, and Matrix Imagining are in place. Professional Service Contracts for Cellular One of Norther East Arizona, Pine Technologies LLC., and Sacred Winds Communications have been submitted through the Navajo Nation 164 Review and Approval process. Sacred Wind Communications and Cellular One provide internet services to Judicial Branch Courts; Matrix Imaging provide technical support for 12 Fujitsu Scanners and Pine Technologies, LLC will provide Support and Maintenance of courts data base management system, which are all components of judicial process to provide services to the public across the Navajo Reservation.
- 2. NNIJISP leadership are focusing on legislative concerns among partners and are currently addressing data sharing for a more effective and efficient flow of moving court cases forward. Continued work sessions of JustWare's ability to customize reports enlightened NNIJISP partners to discussion options on moving forward for JustWare users. NNIJISP Partners have been informed of the importance when inputting data for reporting purposes. The Law and Order Committee has gain interested in the use of the partners case management system and discuss the use in technology and continue to support NNIJISP.
- 3. On a monthly basis or as requested, attended:
- Judicial Branch Bi-monthly Leadership meetings
- Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) meetings
- Roadmap to full capacity meetings
- Process NNIJISP Budget Status Reports
- ARPA meetings
- Contract and Request for Proposal submissions as needed
- Attend Fiscal Office financial and external funds meetings
- Website design meetings
- Monitor submittal professional service contract for JustWare support and maintenance

Activities by NNIJISP System and Programming Manager

- 1. Provide IT Request assistance, solutions, upgrades for software support, software upgrades for desktop computers, installation of printers, training, hardware and software using Skype for Business resolve trouble shooting issues.
- 2. Assist in providing quotes for, computer equipment, printers, monitors, MiFi, external drives, desktops, and laptops for Administrative Office of the Courts, Supreme Court, and Judicial Courts.
- 3. Completed NNIJISP FY 2032 1st Quarter Performance Criteria and quarterly narrative report.
- 4. Completed Information Technology (IT) FY 2032 1st Quarter numbers.
- 5. Assist fiscal staff utilizing IT ARPA funds, and requesting quotes.
- 6. Provide continues information technology support of computer and network usage, JustWare, hardware and software for Judicial Branch.

- 7. Complete receiving reports, process procurement of billing statements for 7 internet connections, MiFi devices, and other wireless devices for vendor Cellular One of North East Arizona and Sacred Wind Communications.
- 8. Due to the Covid-19 Pandemic NNIJISP has stopped in person meetings and have resorted to tele-meetings. During the next quarter we plan on beginning NNIJISP monthly meeting updates.

Objectives for the Next Quarter

- 1. Complete receiving reports, process procurement of billing statements for six (6) internet connections, MiFi devices, and wireless services.
- 2. Coordinate NNIJISP meeting dates, agendas and scheduling; and development of FY 2023 budget request.
- 3. Provide continued information technology support of computer and network usage, JustWare, hardware and software for Judicial Branch.
- 4. Complete NNIJISP Quarterly and Performance Criteria reports.
- 5. Assist financial staff with utilizing IT ARPA funds and requesting quotes.

D. GRANTS ADMINISTRATION

Grants Management:

- 1. Planning to develop a capacity building grant to support the Diné Action Plan (DAP) implementation through Navajo tribal and federal funds.
- 2. Implementing overall Navajo Nation goals and objectives to build support and capacity for mental health and trauma informed services in the courts and programs, through the Culture Forward Coalition.
- 3. Private Process Server contract development, execution and implementation to obtain professional services, for service of process for Domestic Abuse protection orders.
- 4. Planning and developing of the Chinle Juvenile Healing to Wellness Court program at the Chinle Judicial District.
- 5. Developed a one-time funding request to the Bureau of Indian Affairs Tribal Justice Services for Judicial Hearing Officers and numerous other positions to further the mission of the Judicial Branch.

Training:

1. Gender Based Violence in Indian Country

- 2. FMIS Training on Requestor Role
- 3. Cabin Time Working Session Trainings
- 4. Veterans Symposium presentations on Veteran challenges and possible solutions.

Coordination:

- 1. Participated in planning with the Missing and Murdered Diné Relatives action group.
- 2. Participated in capacity building of the New Mexico MMIP Task Force.
- 3. Facilitated discussions on the final draft of the Judicial Branch Strategic Plan
- 4. Developed orientation material for the 25th Navajo Nation Council
- 5. Participated in capacity building meetings with the Crownpoint Community Coalition group, focus on total community wellness.
- 6. Participated in discussions and planning for the Haashkeeji Fund Management Plan.
- 7. Facilitated discussions about building issues, needs and solutions.
- 8. Participated in discussions to formulate the Judicial Branch Court Security Policy.

E. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

Provided continual personal computer support at Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Alamo, Tohajilee, Dilkon, DzilYijiin and Aneth courts.

Provided continual support for video conferencing communications for AOC, Tuba City, Dilkon, Ramah, Shiprock, Window Rock, Window Rock Detention, Supreme Court, Tuba City Detention, Kayenta, Aneth, Chinle and Crownpoint courts, utilizing Skype For Business and CourtCall.

Provided System Administration support for the Journal Technologies JustWare computer software application for NN Supreme Court; Shiprock Courts, Probation and Prosecutors; Dilkon Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Chinle Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Aneth Courts, Probation and Peacemaking; Kayenta Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Tuba City Courts, Probation and Peacemaking; Window Rock Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Crownpoint Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; DzilYijiin Courts; Tohajilee Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Alamo Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Ramah Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office.

Continued network monitoring of the NN Court's network infrastructure for malware activity.

Continued providing technical support for the Judicial Branch for telecommuting. Laptops were verified that JTI JustWare, PAN GlobalProtect, Foxit PhantomPDF and Skype For Business as working from external networks.

Continued maintenance and software updates to NN Judicial Branch database servers to comply with security protocols.

Provided JustWare user trainings for new employees.

Provided Skype For Business trainings Crownpoint Judicial Districts staff.

Other Significant Accomplishments

Continued with the completion and submittal of the JustWare Technical Support RFP to OOC and post proposal on the OOC website.

Attended meetings for the development of facilities for Window Rock Judicial District.

Attended the bi-weekly Judicial Branch teleconference meetings.

Attended teleconference meetings with the Budget and Finance Committees for NNIJISP Budget and the Judicial Branch Business Unit's budgets.

Continue to prepare and configure computers for new employees hired by Judicial Branch. Also assist with establishing email, Skype For Business profiles.

Continue working with Human Resource and Judicial Branch with maintaining/removing computer login profiles and checking-in of computer equipment. Login profiles for JustWare, FMIS, Skype For Business and SharePoint are enabled for employees coming on-board and disabled for employees leaving the branch.

Received FMIS 6B Certification and attended training.

Attended online training 'JTC Cyber-Monday: Communication and Managing Expectations During a Cyber-Attack'

Attended Judicial Branch Employee Personnel Policies training.

Begin meeting and development on creating a 'Resolution Database/Library' with the Supreme Court.

Begin attending mandatory Executive Staff meetings.

Attended Hashkeeji Fund meetings and work sessions.

Attended the 25th NNC Orientation Meeting and presented Judicial Branch's Information Technology activities.

Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

To complete the RFP process for selection of vendor to provide technical support for the JustWare Software Application.

To maintain personal computer support at Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Pueblo Pintado, Alamo, Tohajilee, Dilkon, Aneth and DzilYijiin courts.

To continue support for digital recorders, document archival computer systems and Skype For Business recordings.

To provide configuration/maintenance support to the NNIJISP Project at the Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Dilkon, Tohajilee, Aneth, Alamo, Ramah and DzilYijiin courts, probation offices, peacemaking offices.

To provide limited configuration/maintenance support to the NNIJISP Project at the Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Dilkon, Tohajilee, Alamo and Ramah prosecutor offices.

To provide continued support and training for JTI's JustWare application for all NN Courts, Prosecutors, Peacemaking, Probation and Public Defender staff.

To continue to provide support for webpage modifications for navajocourts.org website and to continue with the development of the upgrade/replacement of the https://courts.navajo-nsn.gov website.

To continue deployment and support of telecommuting equipment for Judicial Branch personnel. Printers, portable digital recorders, laptops, dock systems, backup batteries, Skype For Business application, Microsoft Office Pro 2019, GlobalProtect, Palo Alto XDR and computer system drivers for Judicial Branch staff.

To repair and maintain JustWare on-line payment portals.

To begin working with Vender that won the bidding for Website Re-Design Project.

F. PROBATION & PAROLE SERVICES ("PPS") Accomplishment of Objectives

PPS met its first goal to complete the department training curriculum. Chief Probation Officer (CPO) facilitated, coordinated and to finalize the editing portion of the curriculum with the work group to finalize the PPS training curriculum. The work group which consisted of the four managing PPS staff have put in time, work and consideration for the ongoing department training curriculum. The curriculum will be kept open-document for purpose of revisions, amendments and updates. The curriculum is intended to orientate new staff, provide refresher courses for ongoing training material, and to be used as a mechanism for evaluating PPS staff attainment of annual training goals.

CPO began meeting (virtually) with the Senior Probation Officers with regards to their annual performance evaluations; completed reviews regarding their performances in their leadership capabilities, technical support, and other areas in terms of management skills and teamwork performances. Final performance evaluations will be completed and submitted by due date January 20, 2023.

Partially met the goal to send 4 PPS staff, the two Office Technicians, one Senior Probation Officer and one Probation Officer to the Judicial Branch Financial Management Information Training (FMIS & Budget). However, CPO was not able to schedule a refresher course in the area of Criminal Rules & Procedures as intended. Last minute projects, priorities and the holidays took precedence this quarter. CPO only attended one-day of the FMIS training at Twin Arrows because of other pressing matters to tend to. We look forward to the next go round of the training.

The PPS management team has discussed the initiative to start the MRT sessions this quarter, but there are other factors that impacted the initiative. We had anticipated that we would meet clients in person, but due to the increase in numbers of COVID-19, we delayed the start dates. We can perhaps safely plan to start the initiative summer of 2023. We hope to have clients reporting in and working with probation officers at one-on-one basis by that time. The discussions and planning of implementation will continue. This includes the availability of booklets for clients to use.

In this quarter, CPO reached out to individuals who assist, support and provide training to PPS, such as Mr. Dave Rogers. Mr. Rogers is the CEO and instructor for the Tribal Probation Academy. Discussed inviting him to Navajo to provide probation academy to all probation staff, as an inperson academy. We anticipate the in-person academy for all probation staff summer of 2023. We continue discussions and will be meeting to identify a date and start making plans and accommodations for the training location.

CPO also reached out to the Chief Probation Officer, Charles Flanagan for the Arizona Supreme Court Probation Services. He invited the PPS management team to a meeting to discuss the continuation of networking and training opportunities. Anticipate the work we have established before the pandemic will continue, and we look forward to the scheduled date and time to meet and discussion the continual work between our department and Arizona Supreme Court Probation Services.

Probation management team reached out to several organizations and have attended service providers meeting to reacquaint ourselves and our current position while we move through the phases. The intent to provide understanding what our limitations in terms of working one-on-one with clients.

Capacity Builders of Farmington, New Mexico is one organization that has been in support of how we are working with clients today. Gloria Dee who is the lead person for the organization has been a tremendous support to allow her team to work with us. They provide the support and meet the needs where PPS is not able to do so. They have been such a good technical support to PPS. We continue to work together and have them scheduled to join us for the next PPS staff meeting to discuss moving forward.

Other Significant Accomplishments

As Judicial Branch cautiously moves forward through the pandemic, the PPS management team made plans to schedule a "meet and greet" staff development on October 3, 2022 in Wheatfield's, Arizona. Probation staff were all present at the meet and greet staff introductions. Since the initial administrative leave was called in March of 2020, probation department retired three staff, resignations from three and two had prior resigned just before the pandemic. In place of their departures, we hired new staff and have only communicated through virtual means. We, the management team felt is was necessary to have the staff introduce themselves to each other and provide some encouragement and welcome remarks. New staff appreciated the introductions and work of encouragement and motivations. Staff cooked lunch and ate. Continued to share remarks and provided some stress buster activities by the staff. We, the management teach provided each staff an incentive and recognized their hard work and resilience as they worked through the pandemic. Staff appreciated the introductions and recognition. It was a good meet and greet day for PPS staff.

Probation & Parole Services was called upon to make a report to the Law and Order Committee (LOC) oversight committee. The report was to include issues with regards to probation services, it's functions, operations and funding sources. Law and Order Committee in specific requested for type of services probation services conducts and how PPS networks with internal public services and well as resources we utilize in terms of counseling and rehabilitative services. As Chief Probation Officer, I provided the report to the committee with questions in return. Questions related to the subject of "Courtesy Supervision" and related questions to "inmates" still in custody requesting for consent of Navajo Nation to support their possible release. I explained that it is not the position of PPS to make confirmations for outside jurisdictional releases. Navajo Probation & Parole's position is to consider cases in terms of courtesy supervision. In the end, the overall report was accepted with exception to update the department's Plan of Operations.

So, in this first quarter PPS management team was tasked to work on updating the Probation Plan of Operation. Since the initial establishment of PPS in 2014, the plan of operations has not been updated; therefore, it was the recommended by a member of LOC to do so. We worked on the update and finalized and submitted on December 21, 2022. It was read into record and accepted. Madame Chair Eugenia Charles-Newton noted that she would drop legislated for the update, however that did not happen due to the holidays and other schedules. The process will have to reread into record in this month before the new LOC oversight committee and legislation will have to be generated. The update was completed, it just needs legislation support and voted in.

Most of the quarter was scheduled for work sessions to complete projects pending. Pending projects such the department training curriculum, strategic planning for PPS and overall judicial branch plan. We also had two interviews scheduled for Dilkon District and Tuba City. We were able to select for both districts, however; Tuba City candidate declined the position. Dilkon

candidate selection is in the process for finalizing and notifying for hire date in this next quarter. We should have a probation officer situated in Dilkon come mid-January 2023.

Another task that probation worked on was the FY 2023 carry-over budget. Management team and I discussed to put carry-over monies in line items that would benefit probation department overall goals and anticipated costs. We achieved that task and submitted for PPS

The first quarter is usually set aside in terms of time for Senior Probation Officers to this focus on annual case audits for all the districts. Up until a three years ago, it does have an impact with respect to the annual performance evaluations. Case audits reviews take time, meeting with staff and assessing overall performances. So, first quarter is usually time to focus on case audits. That task is also achieved and now ready to conduct the overall performance evaluation for staff and submit by January 20, 2023.

Another task we completed was to have Sr. Probation Officer and CPO participate in the newly 25th NN Council orientation at Twin Arrows. The presentation was updated by the PPS management team, however; due to pending case audits, we were able to follow through with the orientation presentation for PPS. The presentation went well and had questions too and able to either elaborate on the issue in question or directly answer their questions and they're were good questions. This was Sr. Probation Officer's first orientation and experience to conduct a very brief and short presentation on case management, but she appreciated the experience which developed some confidence in public speaking. It was a good experience for us and we were able to provide the adequate information to the new delegates.

PPS continued to organize, prioritize and list projects to complete and look into the year for possible training opportunities for the PPS staff. We also reflected on where we came from in terms of the pandemic and telecommuting and having to restructure and revisit resources we work with to provide the "new" way of conducting referrals and networking. After the evaluations are done, we, the PPS management team will start the work of planning, coordinating and looking into moving forward in terms of client engagement at the offices and collaborating with local resources. Work will continue, it is just a matter of using caution and safety in mind.

Anticipate PPS to work through the "new" normal, accept and adjust. This will lead to new ideas, recommendations, and new opportunities for the upcoming new year.

Moving forward for Probation & Parole Services will be at a slow, cautious gradual pace, keeping safety at first priority. Reintroducing, re-establishing and reorganizing is at the top of our agenda. We hope for a good productive year to come.

Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- 1. To continue working on pending PPS projects.
- 2. To start compiling the FY2024 NN General Funds Budget for PPS.
- 3. To complete and submit performance evaluations for Senior Probation Officers.
- 4. To coordinate two refresher course trainings for PPS staff.
- 5. To coordinate quarterly narrative reviews with probation officers.

PPS DISTRICT NARRATIVES

All districts have report similar activities.

- 1. Revisiting and contacting local resources and treatment facilities to reconnect services. Some have reached out to local resources to check on the full reopening of their counseling services. Due to increase of COVID cases during the fall, services resorted to continue the closure to public services, which leads to set backs and frustration to ensure compliance with court orders.
- 2. Collateral field visits. Most of the district probation officers have been scheduling collateral field visits this first quarter. This first quarter was the first opportunity to get out and conduct field visits and have probation officers find their clients residence and check on them rather than callinging due to COVID restrictions.
- 3. Re-Introduction. Probation Officers have reported they are revisiting and reintroducing themselves to scheduled resource meetings. Letting resources know they are back in the office and how to handle in-person clients in terms of their counseling referrals. Re-introducing at local resource meetings are providing updates to the "new" way of referrals and client engagement. They are sharing information with regards to how JB is conducting their move using the Roadmap to Full Capacity phases. This is to let the resources know the protocols for Judicial Branch.
- 4. Case Referrals. Probation Officers have been reporting in the last quarter, 2023 that cases are coming in at low numbers, even into the first quarter of 2023. The cases that are being referred over are cases for presentence reports, and indigency cases. Referrals for probation have slightly increased and anticipate to see an increase after the holidays. Reports have been noted that, while cases are coming in at a lower number, the opportunity to complete pending cases and focus on case audits by the Senior Probation Officer in this first quarter reported.
- 5. Resource staffing availability. Districts have reported that although they are reaching out to reconnect with resources in their respective community, there is the issue of lack of staffing for counseling services. Department of Mental & Behavioral Health Services have lost staff during the pandemic. Most are trying to rehire new staff but are not successful. This has an impact on PPS referrals. The lack of manpower in counseling services does impact referrals and delaying court ordered conditions for PPS.

- 6. In person hearing and report-ins. Districts are reporting, the slow and cautious in person hearings that are happening in various districts where Judges are asking for in-person hearings to include probation officers. Therefore, with caution some probation officers are okay with attending an in-person hearing. This also goes with in-person client report-ins. Some district probation officers are scheduling in-person report-in with clients. Again, caution and safety are of high considerations. Probation staff do understand the cleaning process after every in-person report-in. So far, it appears to be working okay. Clients are also notified of their well-being before entering the building. Again, it's only by appointments only.
- 7. In-patient Treatment referrals. In this quarter, most districts have reported a higher increase of referrals to in-patient treatment facilities. I read most referrals are in the Phoenix area. In the last PPS quarterly meeting, I informed and shared with the staff the caution of referring cases to treatment facilities. I reminded them to conduct all referrals through DBMHS and REBA. To make sure they follow all their cases they refer and conduct follow-up and case staffing with clients they send. I shared with them reports of individuals who pose as recruits for counseling services driving around the NN communities picking up people and scamming for funds from their insurance or monthly general assistance.

Chinle PPS included a phone call situation this quarter:

Receiving telephone calls from a female. She only provides a first name and does not give a last name, even when asked. She also does not provide contact information. She does identify herself as person working for a business called Sanctuary Recovery. Providing inpatient treatment for individuals that are struggling with alcohol and drug addiction. She is informed that Probation Services does not refer clients directly from our office and that we refer only to Regional Behavioral Health Authority (RBHA), she continues to try to sell her business. Provided the contact information to RBHA and instructed her to contact them to properly get Sanctuary Recover added as a referral resource. The last call that was recorded from her was on December 2, 2022 at 9:20 a.m. She called on November 1, 2022 at 2:05 p.m., but prior to these two calls were not recorded (date/time).

Aneth PPS reported that she was assigned to cover the Dilkon District. Dilkon Probation Services is at this time without a probation officer. The late Dominque LaFontaine was the last probation officer stationed in Dilkon up until the time of his passing. The PPS management team has conducted an interview and is in the selection process to hire, but even that will take time. Therefore, Aneth Probation Officer will cover Dilkon District for at least the next quarter. Once the probation officer is hired and situated, there is the issue of orientation, training and shadow of a probation officer until he/she becomes familiar and confident to handle the district on their own. Probation Officer accepted the additional assignment is currently working with Senior Probation Officer.

Ramah PPS sharing a success story with one of her clients.

Success story about a previous probation client. Client successfully completed his probationary term and successfully completed his court obligations. One of the goals he has set for himself was to stay away from friends who influence alcohol, and to stay out trouble and not break any more laws. In the last contact with client for a current client's housing application, he was very happy to see me and he thanked Probation Officer for helping him and always encouraging him to do better. Client stated "look I secured a really good job and have not gotten in anymore trouble."

Crownpoint PPS reports his thoughts and benefits of the "Meet & Greet" introductions.

On October 5, 2022, attended a Probation & Parole Services meet & greet meeting. After over two years being away from our work place this function gave me the opportunity to re-meet other Probation Staff from across the Navajo Nation as well as newly hired staff that came aboard during the pandemic. Each staff member was given an opportunity to review with the new hired Officers their experiences and also to give advice to the new hires. Hearing the stories from the Officers and my story as well gave me a sense of accomplishment, it renewed my reason why I took on this job, it gave me the desire to continue my work with helping people, client's, families, our Navajo People.

Window Rock PPS reports extended services for a former client in Denver, Colorado, who became homeless and had nowhere to turn to reach out to for help, and was able to contact Probation Officer. Here is her report.

On the evening of November 16, 2022, took at call around 8:30 PM from a prior client. This client was discharged from PPS as unsuccessful. The contact showed up on my phone as an unassigned number. The person called crying and asking for help. The person was in the Denver, CO area and was homeless for the night. The temperature for the night was to drop in the 20s or less with wind chill. The person was out of options and said I was he last chance for help so he called me. I put everything aside to get help for this person. I asked the person to contact their nearest homeless shelter or church but I was told that he was told that they were all filled to capacity. I took down the person's number and address of where exactly he was in Denver. I then called the crisis hotline and got a hold of James Leslie. I gave him the person's phone number and he stated that he would reach out to him. I started calling all the shelter's in the Denver, CO area. I finally got a hold of one but was 15 miles away from him. I called him back and informed him of a shelter that would take him. He stated it was too far to walk. I asked him to use the bus to get to the location because I had spoken with the shift leader and he would hold a spot for him. He said okay and hung up. He called back when he got to the Mission Shelter and said that the doors were closed. I called the shelter back and let them know that the person I called for was outside and I gave them his description of the clothes he was wearing. The person was able to get into the shelter for the night. I let him know to make arrangements for the next night and not to wait until it was late at 8 PM to call for help.

VI. PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

Peacemaking delivered on our core programs of providing direct services and meeting or exceeding our performance goals during First Quarter of FY2023.

Events this quarter have highlighted the critical importance of preserving and utilizing traditional, culturally relevant methods in conflict resolution, policy information, and cultural events. Discussions with Branches of government and local communities are focusing Peacemaking as forum of dispute resolution that is understood and used by the Diné, this

engagement expands our broader responsibilities of providing services exemplified through Diné Bi Beenahaz'áanii to other agencies and departments.

Living our cultural traditions in our modern lives is one of the highest indicators of Navajo sovereignty, by doing this we preserve our unique identity as a people. In many instances, parallel systems that allow us to co-exist in an Anglo-American society are necessary, but this quarter has brought many examples of the importance of treating these as parallel systems, not allowing them to attain supremacy over our culture and sovereignty.

Three Traditional Program Specialists positions continue vacant for the Judicial districts of Pueblo Pintado out of Crownpoint, Shiprock and Dzil Yijiin along with Diné Traditional Researcher position with the program administration office in Window Rock.

1. Use community-based Peacemakers:

Outreach to Community-based Peacemakers are ongoing to check on their well-being or to engage their assistance in facilitating Peacemaking sessions and counseling. Recruiting efforts are ongoing, this quarter three outstanding individuals were recognized as Honorary Peacemakers by the Program Coordinator they are Mr. Herman Largo of Wheatfields, Arizona, Mr. Aljerino Tsedah of Many Farms, Arizona and Mr. Ramone Yazzie, Sr., of Fort Defiance, Arizona.

2. <u>Peacemaking Facilities and Equipment:</u>

The \$120,000. budgeted for Alamo Peacemaking hogan was part of the Judicial Branch carry over funds from FY2022. This capital outlay line item is to complete the water and sewage hook-ups for the hogan, drawings and cost specifications are still being completed by staff within Division of Community Development.

The funding allocation has been obtained for five Peacemaking program vehicles. Bidding has gone out twice in FY2022 with no bids largely due to lack of inventory or chip shortages. The program was granted a go ahead from Motor Vehicle Review Board to pursue changing vehicle procurement from full size trucks to mid-sized SUV, currently it is in process with OMB changes.

3. Maintain our professionalism:

Program staff have moved back into their office setting as the Judicial Branch implements each phase of the Roadmap to Full Capacity. While Navajo Nation adjusts with lifting pandemic restrictions our program staff have increased collaboration with clients and stakeholders. Numbers of contacts with program clients and public inquiries are increasing as program staff remain in contact and available through issued cell phones, being available by cell phone in some areas have reduced conflict to restore Hozhó.

4. Advance our mission.

Our core work is conducting Peacemaking sessions, Life Value Engagements, and other elements of traditional problem-solving that require some traditional knowledge. Traditional teachings are in line with the Dine philosophy of Nitsahakees, Nahat'a, Iina, and Sihasin that are essential in everyday life and are relevant to issues encountered. There are faith-based families who seek Peacemaking services for resolutions, they are provided Biblical teachings to reflect on. Program staff have ongoing training discussions to establish thinking and learning approach in providing Winter Stories. By providing these teachings with the assistance of Judicial Branch Office of Human Resources Peacemaking delivers to interface Diné Fundamental Law in employee development within the Judicial Branch.

Collaborating with Missing and Murdered Dine Relatives (MMDR) initiative with Peacemaking is ongoing with weekly meetings to remain abreast of task force initiatives and information sharing.

Contributing with areas of knowledge when questions on Fundamental Law are posed recently presented itself when program staff were invited to roundtable discussion on Collective Cascading Trauma. Areas of knowledge on spiritual, physical and mental wellness were contributed. Program staff voiced major concerns for physical, mental and spiritual well-being; a major dilemma is the scarcity of ceremonial reparation of individual harmony. The lack of understanding Traditional and Customary Law in this area is concerning.

1. The Peacemaking Program prioritizes work based on the goals set by Council and the Judicial Branch. These are examples from some of the Judicial Districts:

Staff members in Judicial Districts report individual accomplishments as they work to implement our mission. Ongoing community education on disharmony and community conflicts often result in challenges to the community members to identify the meaning of Diné Justice to better understand what traditional justice is and how it is accepted by the community. This quarter program staff attend the following chapter and planning meetings held in Cornfields, Kinlichee, Tohajiilee, Alamo, Ramah, Aneth, Churchrock, To'nanees'dizi Local Government and Coppermine. Promoting Peacemaking services and recruiting of local Peacemakers remain our focus when attending chapter meetings.

Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- Work with Chief Justice and others to enhance Peacemaking.
- Update the program strategic plan, and complete the detailed work plan to enhance performance measurements.
- Assist with recruiting efforts at judicial districts and communities to fill vital vacant program positions.
- Continue to provide education regarding Fundamental Law and traditional education.

- Continue to coordinate recruiting efforts for new Peacemakers from local communities.
- Continue services such as Peacemaking Sessions, Individual Life Value Engagement (ILVE), Group Life Value Engagement (GLVE), and Dine Family Group Conferences (DFGC)

VII. COURTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION

A. SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court Caseload Statistics

_ou	rt C	aseioac	1 Statistics				
	a.	Civil					
		(1)	Cases Filed	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	<u>Total</u>
		(1)	Cuscs I neu	2	1	3	6
			Cartified Question	2	1	3	U
			-Certified Question0				
			-Child Custody1				
			-Contract0				
			-Decedent Estate0				
			-Domestic Relations1				
			-Elections1				
			-Employment/Labor2				
			-Ethics0				
			-Grazing0				
			-Land Dispute0				
			<u>-</u>				
			-Probate0				
			-Torts0				
			-Writs1				
			-Reconsiderations0				
		(2)	Cases Completed	1	4	1	6
		(3)	Hearings Held	0	7	0	7
		(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter:				
		` /	Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
			Orders	1	4	1	6
			Opinions	0	0	0	0
			Opinions	U	U	U	U
	b.	Crimi	nal				
	υ.	CIIIII	mai	Oct	Nov	Doo	Total
		(1)	Coses Eiled	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
		(1)	Cases Filed	0	0	0	0
		(2)	Cases Completed	0	0	0	0
		(3)	Hearings Held	0	0	0	0
		(4)	Total Desiries with Consultan				
		(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter:	0	0	0	0
			(a) Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
			(b) Orders	0	0	0	0
			(c) Opinions	0	o 0	0	o 0
			(c) Opinions	U	U	U	U
	c.	Nava	jo Nation Bar Association				
			,	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
		(1)	Cases Filed	<u>3et.</u> 7	0	0	7
		(2)	Cases Completed	ó	6	0	6
			•				
		(3)	Hearings Held	0	7	0	7

(4)	Total	Decisions	this	Ouarter:
(. /	1000	D CCIDIOIID	CILID	Zumiter.

(a) Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
(d) Orders (e) Opinions	7	6	0	13

d. Special Proceedings

		Oct.	Nov.	<u>Dec.</u>	<u>Total</u>
(1)	Cases Filed	0	0	0	0
(2)	Cases Completed	0	0	0	0
(3)	Hearings Held	0	0	0	0
(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter:				
	(a)Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
	(0.0.1	0	0	0	0
	(f) Orders	0	0	0	0
	(g) Opinions	0	0	0	0

e. Summary of <u>all</u> cases on appeal

(1) Brought Forward: 106

(2) Filed: 13

(3) Reconsiderations: 2

(4) Closed: 12 (5) Pending: 109

Pending	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	total
cases												
Civil	1	0	1	3	12	19	13	6	4	14	31	104
Criminal	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	4
NNBA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Special	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
proceeding												
Total	1	0	1	3	13	19	13	6	6	15	32	109

2. Motions Reviews and Decided:

Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
0	0	0	0

3. Oral Arguments/Hearings Held: 7 Hearings held

4. Pro Bono and Appointments:

	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
Tuba City/Kayenta/Dilkon	4	14	7	25
Window Rock/Chinle/Dzil Yijiin	5	1	2	8
Crownpoint/PP/Shiprock/Aneth	2	3	3	8
Ramah/Alamo/To'hajiilee	0	1	3	4
Total	11	19	15	45

5. Navajo Reporter, FY 2023

The Navajo Nation Supreme Court sells Navajo Reporter books which are official reports of cases argued and decided in the Navajo Nation Supreme Court and the District Courts of the Navajo Nation. The books are sold in volumes starting from volume 1 to volume 9, except for volume 5, which are sold at Dine College Book Store. The prices of the books range from \$45.00 to \$100.00 per book. The order form for purchasing the Navajo Reporters is located on the Judicial Branch website.

There was a total of 6 book sales in the first quarter.

SUPREME COURT AND JUDICIAL BRANCH

Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne, Associate Justice Eleanor Shirley, Associate Justice Tina Tsinigine, and legal staff met virtually for disposition meetings during the months of October, November, and December, on the following dates: October 14, 2022, October 28, 2022, November 18, 2022, December 05, 2022, December 06, 2022, December 16, 2022, and December 21, 2022. They reviewed caseloads and any pending motions and apprised each other of the status of the cases as to the draft decisions they are working on. The Justices participated in discussing motions, issues of the appeal, and researches they completed. Moreover, these discussions include comments and edits made to the draft orders and decision made on the cases with some cases continuing for further meeting dates as decided by the Court. They conducted preliminary reviews of cases as to whether the appeal is timely, whether record compilation has been completed, and whether the filings are following the Navajo Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, statutes of the Navajo Nation Code, and case laws. In conducting preliminary reviews, the Justices coordinate the research and review of the lower court record with the Supreme Court Clerk on the discussion and drafting of Orders.

On a bi-yearly basis, oral hearings are held for newly admitted Navajo Nation Bar Association members. On November 4, 2022, an in-person hearing was held for six (6) newly admitted Navajo Nation Bar Association members.

The Judicial Conference is composed of 11 Judges and 3 Justices who set policy and advise the Chief Justice in matters concerning the administrative functions and policy development of the courts. On October 4, 2022, the FY22 fourth quarter Judicial Conference was held virtually. The fourth quarter Judicial Conference agenda consisted of receiving reports on the Hashkééjí Nahat'á Béeso Bá Hooghan Fund. The Government Relations Officer worked on the criteria and process to develop an expenditure plan for the Hashkééjí Nahat'á Béeso Bá Hooghan and presented the report to the Judicial Conference and received feedback from judges and justices. The judicial conference asked that executive staff review the draft criteria and process. The final report and resolution were to be presented at the fy23 first quarter Judicial Conference on December 29, 2022, but the meeting did not have a quorum so the meeting did not occur and was rescheduled to January 06, 2023.

On November 1, 2022, Chief Justice Jayne signed and issued Administrative Order 34-2022, Order Establishing Judicial Branch Executive Staff Bi-Monthly Meetings. The purpose of the meetings is to discuss Judicial Branch's administrative matters with the goal of reducing caseloads. The meetings are held twice a month and consists of the Administrative Director, Human Resource Director, Fiscal Service Manager, Associate Attorney, Solicitor, Peacemaking Coordinator, Chief Probation Officer, Government Relations Officer, Judicial Staff Assistant, Grants Administrator, Senior Budget Analyst, and Automation and Information Technology Manager. Meetings were held on November 3, 2022; November 15-18, 2022; December 1, 2022; and December 15, 2022. These meetings updated the Chief Justice on the status of and to identify next steps on priority projects.

On November 16, 2022, the Supreme Court/Office of the Chief Justice/Office of Pro Bono Services held there monthly meeting on November 16, 2022. Issues affecting the Court and Offices were addressed at this meeting. Due to conflicting schedules the meeting for December was postponed.

On a bi-weekly basis the Supreme Court, Office of Pro Bono Services, and Office of Chief Justice staff attended Judicial Branch's bi-weekly Teleconferences where information is shared regarding COVID-19 safety protocols, court operations, building use, telework operations, and budget overviews.

Government Relations Officer, Judicial Staff Assistant, and Administrative Service Officer attended Court Administrators and Program Managers meetings on October 20, 2022 and November 17, 2022. Updates on issues affecting the Branch and its programs are provided to program managers during these meetings. A meeting was also held on December 5, 2022 regarding the FY2022 carryover budgets.

Government Relations Officer attended the Save Our Students Meeting for the Chinle Judicial District on November 30, 2022. Judge Cynthia Thompson has resumed these meetings online to discuss how to address issues related to youth within the Chinle district.

Government Relations Officer attended biweekly meetings by the Senior Budget Analyst on External Funds. The Senior Budget Analyst shares information on the external funds' accounts

during these meetings and staff work on troubleshooting issues that come up in expending the funds.

Government Relations Officer is part of a work group updating the Strategic Plan for the Judicial Branch. The work group met on December 2, 2022, to review the latest draft. This strategic plan is to be presented to the judicial conference for approval.

Government Relations Officer attended the criminal justice summit for Crownpoint Judicial District on December 21, 2022. During these meetings, local resources and partners share information within the district.

OATH OF OFFICE

Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne gave an oath to six (6) newly confirmed Navajo Nation Bar Association members on November 4, 2022.

Associate Justice Eleanor Shirley conducted oath of office for the newly elected school board members and three (3) chapter officials on Thursday, December 1, 2022 at 10 am via zoom.

Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne gave an oath to confirmed District Court Judge, Cecelia Tallman, on October 19, 2022.

SUPREME COURT VACANCIES

The Navajo Nation has seven vacant Judicial District Judge positions. Recruiting efforts are ongoing and paramount for the Branch.

The Supreme Court has three vacant positions, Supreme Court Law Clerk, Court Solicitor, and Supreme Court Administrator.

PROBATIONARY JUDGES AND JUSTICE EVALUATIONS

The Navajo Nation evaluation process for Probationary Judges and Associate Justices is performed in accordance with the Judicial Branch Personnel Rules and Policies for Judges and Justices. Each probationary Judge and Justice are evaluated every six months during the judge's probationary period. Chief Justice appoints a performance review team for each judicial performance review. The performance review team consists of the Chief Justice, a District Court Judge, a Navajo Nation Bar Association Commission member, and a Law and Order Committee member.

Currently, there are a total of five probationary Judges and one probationary Associate Justice with the Navajo Nation. Two (2) Judges have completed their first six-month evaluation, one Judge has completed their first and second evaluation and one Judge completed their first, second, and third six-month evaluations, and the Associate Justice has completed their first six-month evaluation. Two Judges are needing their second, third, and fourth six-month evaluations, one Judge needs their fourth six-month evaluation, and the Associate Justice needs their second, third, and fourth six-month evaluations. The newly confirmed probationary judge has yet to start their performance evaluation process.

During the first quarter, scheduling conflicts and changes to the performance review teams have hampered evaluation efforts. Only one evaluation was completed in November.

OFFICE OF PRO BONO SERVICES

The Office of Pro Bono Services rules were approved by the Judicial Conference of the Navajo Nation on August 21, 1992. The Office of Pro Bono Services was given the authority by the Navajo Nation Bill of Rights to give the discretion of the Navajo Nation courts to determine when legal counsel shall be appointed for legal cases within the Navajo Nation courts.

The Office of Pro Bono Services receives requests from the Navajo Nation Judicial Districts on the reservation requesting for legal counsel for individuals who cannot afford an attorney for legal cases filed within the Navajo Nation Judicial Family and/or District courts on the Navajo reservation.

The process of obtaining legal counsel from the Office of Pro Bono Services starts with an application from the Navajo Nation Probation and Parole Services. The Navajo Nation Probation and Parole Services screen and determine eligibility for legal counsel. The approved application by the Navajo Nation Probation and Parole Services is sent to the court for the Navajo Nation Judge to Order for a member of the Navajo Nation Bar Association (legal counsel) be assigned to the individual that needs legal assistance. The Office of Pro Bono Services then makes an assignment for legal counsel to assist the individual in need for legal assistance pertaining to their cases with the Navajo Nation courts.

The Office of Pro Bono Services received 45 Pro Bono requests for the 1st quarter. 25 requests were from Tuba City, Kayenta and Dilkon Judicial Districts. 8 requests were from Window Rock, Chinle and Dzil Yijiin Judicial Districts. 8 requests were from Crownpoint, Shiprock and Aneth Judicial Districts. 4 requests were from Ramah, Alamo and To'haajiilee Judicial Districts.

NAVAJO NATION GOVERNMENT

Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne is a member of the Retirement Plan Administration Committee (RPAC) of the Navajo Nation. RPAC meetings held this quarter were on October 26, 2022; November 23 2022; December 28, 2022. The RPAC held there annual meeting in Las Vegas, NV on December 8 and 9, 2022. At the annual meeting Chief justice obtained firsthand knowledge and information on the Navajo Nation investments, with its history of performance and current status of Navajo Nation funds for retirement funds for Navajo Nation employees.

Chief Justice attended the Annual Navajo Nation Annual Investment meeting, held in New York, NY, on November 29, 2022 to December 2, 2022. Chief Justice attended the meeting to obtain status, updates, current values, investment results, future holdings, and future overview of the Navajo Nation investments.

Government Relations Officer continued meeting with the Dine Action Plan (DAP) Advisory Group as a Judicial Branch representative. The Advisory Group includes members from the three branches of government to work on plans to address issues of the Navajo Nation including substance abuse, suicide, domestic violence and missing and murdered Dine relatives. Attended the Advisory Group meetings on October 20, 2022, and on November 16-17, 2022 where the

group continued to organize itself. Chair, co-chair and secretary were selected during the November meeting and task groups are being formed. Several planning meetings were held in preparation for the Advisory Group meetings and additional meetings were held to follow up on some of the Advisory Group recommendations.

On November 18, 2022 and November 21, 2022, the Government Relations Officer met with Adam Begaye of the Office of the President and Vice President, Donovan Hanley of the Office of the Speaker, Navajo Nation Youth Advisory Committee Vice Chair Isiah Tsosie, and Office of Dine Youth staff, on issues related to the Navajo Nation Youth Advisory Committee (NNYAC) including proposed plan of operations for the NNYAC and revising program performance criteria.

As a member of the Employee Housing Committee, the Government Relations Officer attended housing committee meetings on October 13, 2022; November 1, 2022; and December 6, 2022. The Committee makes housing assignments as necessary.

Government Relations Officer watched the Office of the President and Vice President weekly townhall meetings to gain information on issues that affect the Navajo Nation including the COVID-19 pandemic and other public health concerns.

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

The Navajo Nation Judicial Branch conducted orientation to the newly elected 25th Navajo Nation Council on December 12, 2022 in Twin Arrows, Arizona. The Judicial Branch staff presented on several areas including District Courts, Peacemaking, Probation and Parole Services, Administrative Offices of the Courts, financial overview and information technology. Staff answered questions from the delegates-elect and they were given binders and jump drives with copies of the presentations.

The Navajo Nation Council approved by resolution the probationary appointment of Cecelia Tallman as a district court judge for the Navajo Nation. The Chief Justice and Government Relations Officer were present at the session and Chief Justice conducted Judge Tallman's swearing in shortly after the confirmation.

Government Relations Officer virtually attended the following meetings: the purpose of this position is to gain information to share with the Chief Justice and the Judicial Branch.

Law and Order Committee meetings on October 3, 2022; October 10, 2022; October 24, 2022; November 14, 2022; November 18, 2022; and November 21, 2022. Watched December 12, 2022 meeting, Budget and Finance Committee meeting on October 4, 2022; Naabik'iyati Committee on October 13, 2022; Navajo Nation Council Fall Session on October 17-19, 2022; Naabik'iyati Committee on November 4, 2022; Naabik'iyati Committee on November 10, 2022; Navajo Nation Council special session on November 16, 2022; Sexual Assault Prevention Subcommittee on November 18, 2022; Naabik'iyati Committee on December 8, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee meeting on December 13, 2022; Naabik'iyati' Committee on December 19, 2022; Navajo Nation Council on December 19, 2022; Naabik'iyati Committee on December 27-28, 2022; and Navajo Nation Council on December 28-29, 2022.

STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

As a member of the Ninth Circuit Ad Hoc Committee on Tribal and Native Relations, Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne attended "Building Communication Between Tribal and Federal Courts and the Agencies Administering Justice in Indian Country" on October 21, 2022. As a committee member, Chief Justice identifies methods of better establishing communication with and addressing issues relating to tribal, native, and indigenous groups.

Chief Justice met with the Arizona State Courts on October 21, 2022 to get an overview of the state court system, state attorney office, and the administrative office of the court to assist in developing a better system for the Navajo Nation Judicial Branch.

In October, Government Relations Officer assisted with Navajo Nation response on tribal consultation with the New Mexico U.S. Attorney's Office. The response was organized by the Navajo Nation Washington Office.

On October 12, 2022, Government Relations Officer attended the virtual Town Hall on Health and Human Services Tribal Data Sharing Policy held by the National Indian Health Board.

Government Relations Officer attended Native Youth Justice Communities of Justice meeting facilitated by the Association on American Indian Affairs on November 9, 2022. There were updates on the Indian Child Welfare Act oral arguments before the U.S. Supreme Court and sharing of stories among those present.

On November 30 through December 1, 2022, the Government Relations Officer virtually attended the White House Tribal Nations Summit on. There will be new or updated tribal consultation policies from agencies of the federal government to improve tribal consultation. Some agencies are also establishing tribal advisory committees, offices of tribal and native affairs, and/or tribal relations positions. The White House highlighted investments to tribal nations, including funding through the American Rescue Plan.

PUBLIC EVENTS

Government Relations Officer attended the Western Navajo Fair in Tuba City, Arizona on October 6, 2022. She delivered promotional items for the Tuba City District Court to hand out during the Youth Day and Elder Fest events at the fair. This provided community relations for the Judicial Branch.

Chief Justice and Government Relations Officer attended the Annual Veterans Day Recognition event held by the Office of the Speaker on November 11, 2022. Chief Justice delivered remarks in support of veterans and their families.

TRAININGS

Supreme Court, Office of Chief Justice, and Pro Bono staff attended the following trainings:

Financial Management Information System training on October 24-27, 2022, conducted by Judicial Branch financial staff. Refreshers on the various financial policies that all employees need to know such as travel, p-card, and procurement were given. Live demonstrations were

done on the different roles within the 6B process from the stage of purchase requisition to approval to receiving and voucher matching. Received updates on the general and external budgets of the branch.

Annual sexual harassment prevention training conducted by the Navajo Nation Staff Development and Training on November 7, 2022.

B. ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. Aneth Judicial District continues to operate in Phase two of the Judicial Branch Re-entry plans. The Phase two data incorporated local health data, and for that specific reason Aneth cannot move forward into Phase three or four. The recent health data shows a slight increase of infection rates of COVID-19 and a significant lowering of hospitalization rates. Another reason for not moving into the higher Phases is because of limited HVAC function with its current system. Currently, in the procurement process of obtaining new HVAC system for Aneth Court.

B. Aneth Judicial District holds in high regards the health and safety of its staff and its customers. These safety protocols include the safety of the court building and to diminish exposure of COVID-19 by only allowing a limited number of employees in the building in Phase two. Aneth Court staff continue to self-monitor for symptoms for COVID-19 and for the seasonal cold before reporting to work. Aneth court has always offered essential court services and has never shut-down during the pandemic.

C. ADA parking lot was completed and employee sidewalk for the employee entrance in December 2022. The vendor contractor is waiting complete payment and this contract will be closed for completion.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Honorable Irene S. Black is temporarily assigned to Shiprock Judicial District and to the Judicial Grievance Board. The assignment started on December 01, 2014 by then Chief Justice Herb Yazzie who assigned by Administrative Order 68-2014. With the current public health emergency, Judge Black conducts essential hearings by telecommuting from home for Aneth and Shiprock Judicial Districts.

B. The Aneth District Court staff are participating in trainings offered by the Judicial Branch Training Manager via Skype for Business and Zoom. Aneth District Court staff have completed required trainings to enter into Phase two. Aneth District staff have participated in trainings required by the Judicial Branch, the Navajo Nation and have attended job specific training for professional development.

C. Aneth District Court continues its bi-weekly meetings with staff and court programs. These meetings are to update all employees on the information being disseminated from the weekly Continuity of Operations Plan (C.O.O.P.) meetings, from the Health and Safety Advisor, Window Rock Administrative Offices of the Courts and other court administrative updates..

D. Aneth District Court has one vacancy; a Staff Attorney position. No one has applied for the Staff Attorney position.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Phase three re-entry plan will be submitted on behalf of Aneth District Court for consideration after new HVAC units have been replaced to address ventilation of the court building.
- B. Within the second quarter, Aneth District court will have selected a HVAC vendor and procurement will commence for new HVAC system.
- C. Anoth management team will begin the budgeting process for FY 2024. The process will include other district so the Judicial Branch will have a comprehensive budgeting plan for 2024.
- D. Aneth District Court administration will continue to advertise for the vacant Staff Attorney position.

C. CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. COVID-19 Court Response: Chinle Judicial District is in Phase Three of the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance. We continue to operate to expand services to the public. Judges continue to hear emergency cases. Court documents are received by drop box, postal mail, electronic mail and fax. Staff members are on a rotating schedule to come into the court to answer telephone calls, process fines and fee payments, process postal mail, process filings and to clerk hearings. They are doing a phenomenal job in continuing to provide expanded services to the public with the bare essentials. We have a maximum of seven (7) staff in the building on a daily basis to provide services to the public and to process court cases.

- B. Quarterly Judicial Conference: The judge was unable to attend the quarterly Judicial Conference. The court administrators and program managers were not included in the Judicial Conference. The Judicial Conference was rescheduled to the next quartering January 2023.
- C. <u>SOS Meeting</u>: The Court Administrator and Judge Thompson facilitated a Save Our School (SOS) meeting with local service providers, especially with the schools and their counselors. Discussions included the implementation of the Juvenile Healing to Wellness Court, and how to get the services started within the service area. Service providers are ready to provide the service and work with the students and their families.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Weekly Teleconference Meetings: The Court Administrator and Judge participated in the

bi-monthly teleconference meetings. These updates are provided by the Chief Justice's support staff. Information on virtual trainings for staff updates on pandemic activities, and upcoming events are shared among staff.

B. Resource Meeting: The Court Administrator and Judge Thompson facilitated a district resource meeting with the local service providers. Discussions included welcoming everyone to the meeting and improving our services to the public. Everyone who attended were grateful to hear from one another and continued to encourage each other in staying safe. It was a productive meeting. Another meeting is scheduled for the near future.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. The Chinle Judicial District Judge and Court Administrator will participate in meetings with service providers and the Chief Justice's Office.
- B. The Chinle Judicial District will continue to work toward meeting its performance criteria goals.
- C. The Court Administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiffs, office technicians and custodian.
- D. The Chinle Judicial District will continue to work with Judicial Branch Human Resources Office in fulfilling goals and filling vacant positions.

D. CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT & PUEBLO PINTADO COURT

1. Accomplishment of Objective set the Previous Quarter

A. Continue to work on back log

Crownpoint Judicial District Court clerks worked on the back log of cases. Through this first quarter, the clerk staff and one of the bailiffs continued to work on cases. They were able to close 365 cases, majority of which was in the civil traffic and the domestic violence case types. The Judicial District clerks continue to assist with all case types.

Pueblo Pintado Court clerk closed out 19 cases this quarter and majority of the cases are civil traffic and domestic violence case types.

Both Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado Court staff worked diligently on cases that were backed-logged. All staff members returned full time back to their workstation and continue to work on cases and assisted each other to reach their performance criteria goals for this quarter.

B. <u>Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado conducted their Criminal Justice Summit meeting for the resources within the 32 Navajo Chapters Eastern Navajo Agency.</u>

On December 21, 2022, Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado conducted their Criminal Justice Summit virtual meeting with the resources within Eastern Navajo Agency consisting of 32 Navajo chapters. During this meeting we had representatives from at least eight resources that attended. Due to COVID-19 pandemic these meetings have been held virtual since March 2021. The resources reacquainted with each other and provided updates, the newly-hired Court Administrator provided introduction, emergency holiday schedule was presented, and the next meeting date is scheduled for January 31, 2023 for better resource coordination.

C. <u>Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado Bailiffs attended variety of trainings for Court Security during this quarter.</u>

Crownpoint Judicial District Court and Pueblo Pintado Bailiffs continue to attend trainings for our health and safety procedures throughout the first quarter to strengthen safety and security services for our court facility. Due to our facility being in Phase 3 and re-opening our front lobby doors to the public from 8 am to 5 pm, our Bailiffs continue to provide safety and a safe environment for the staff and the public daily.

- 1. 09/21/22-Eastern Dine Justice Complex Fire Drill
- 2. 10/13/22- Attended Hantavirus Prevention/Covid-19 & Monkey Pox Prevention by Navajo Nation Health Education
- 3. 10/13/22-Attended Ex Parte Communication Training
- 4. 10/31/22-Eastern Dine Justice Complex Fire Drill
- 5. 10/31/22-Skype for Business Staff Training
- 6. 11/02/22-Trauma Care Class Training: Virtual via zoom
- 7. 12/01/22-Staff N95 Mask Fitting and Hand Washing Training
- 8. 12/02/22-Judicial Branch Travel & Training Policy Training
- 9. 12/02/22-E-Filing and Case Management Training
- 10. 12/02/22-Navajo Wellness Presentation
- 11. 12/16/22-Understanding URL's
- 12. 12/16/22-Classic Danger Zone

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. <u>In Person Hearings</u>

The Crownpoint Judicial District Court conducted 48 in-person hearings at the courthouse for Criminal, Domestic Violence, Family Court, CHINS, and Family Court cases. The Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court conducted 3 in-person hearings at the courthouse for Criminal and Children Court cases. The court re-opened its front lobby to the public back to regular working hours from 8 am-5 pm since November 2022 and continue to practice and abide by the Navajo Nation Division of Health Public Health Emergency Orders.

B. Phase 3 Designation – Crownpoint Justice Center

The Crownpoint Judicial District continues to operate under Phase 3 of the Roadmap to Full Capacity (Reopening guidance), which allows for in-person hearings, during this reporting period. The lack of facilities in Window Rock affects Crownpoint. Due to lack of court facilities in Window Rock, the Crownpoint Judicial District continues to accommodate the Window Rock Judicial District, and the Window Rock Judicial District continues to use the Crownpoint multipurpose justice center for jury trials and other hearings. The next jury trial is scheduled for

February 2023. Thus, there is a need for judicial facilities in Window Rock. Holiday coverage were in place for 2022 holidays.

Staff continue to provide daily check-in with temperature, and answer their screening sheet for symptoms. The staff communication hub continues to post updates and policies. No staff member needed quarantine for this quarter.

C. Phase 1 for Pueblo Pintado Court

The Court Administrator continues to work on re-opening Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court building. The Pueblo Pintado building needs repair on: a broken water pipe from the community waterline, water meter, openings in the walls, broken skirting to the foundation, and cleaning inside the building due to rodent infestation. However, the Administrative Office of the Courts maintenance position has been vacant, and is in the process of coordinating with Navajo Nation Facility Maintenance Department for delivery of service. Presently, the water remains off. The Phase 1 checklist is in a process to be activated. There is only one clerk and one bailiff at this time serving the Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court and both are working at the Crownpoint facility.

D. 17th National Indian Nation Conference in Palm Springs, California.

On December 06, 2022 to December 09, 2022, Pueblo Pintado clerk attended the 17th National Indian Nation Conference in Palm Springs, California. The conference commenced with a wonderful opening prayer, Hopi color guards posted the colors with a national anthem, welcome remark was made by Chairman Reid Milanovich of the Cahuilla Indians along with their bird song. The shawl ceremony was presented by the Tribal Coalitions ladies that set-up their shawls in remembrance of MMIW, Domestic Violence, LGBT, Elderly Abuse, and Sexual Assaults victims. The conference began with an overview of Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) in subject matter that the existing jurisdiction framework left victims without adequate protection. Due to tribal court having no criminal jurisdiction over non-Indian in Indian country. In reference to the Oliphant v. Suquamish case, 435 U.S.§191 (1978); US attorneys declined to prosecute many violent crimes that occurred in Indian country. During the 2013 and 2022 VAWA, there were tribal jurisdiction provision, Pascua Yaqui's implantation experience, OVW resources, and updates for tribes to exercise criminal jurisdiction over non-Indians who commit domestic violence, dating violence or violating protection order which requires tribes to provide certain due process protections to non-Indians in tribal court.

Presentation of the history of the forced assimilation of tribal children that were affected by the CAC movement, spanning the boarding school era, the adoption era, and the present-day realities of child welfare disproportionalities were also presented. Along with an overview of the effects of historical and individual trauma in tribal communities. As a result, recommended strategies were recommended for culturally appropriate engagement and resources for native children, their families, and community on healing.

Virtual hearing and its effective practices before and during the pandemic in tribal courts were also presented. This direction meant having the proper equipment for virtual hearing. Such as

laptops, recording devices, tables, software i.e. zoom, skype, or google team, printers, scanners, especially Wi-Fi and internet services for communities. Lessons learned were some area internet services work well and some rural areas have no internet access. Honorable Mekko Miller stated that you still need to remind attorney and clients during virtual court hearing that court room procedures still apply. Meaning your attire and behaviors continue to be professional and not wear PJ's to the virtual hearing setting.

Creative tribal court protection orders are advancing safety and justice for victims in tribal communities and tribal courts. Crimes in tribal communities and places are strongly emphasizing on decreasing violence against American Indian and Alaska Native women. Tribal court protection orders, the federal violence against women and or VAWA, and the federal law mandate full faith and credit for protective orders issued by tribal courts in accordance with VAWA requirements. Under these laws, a protective order issued by a tribal or sister-state court is entitled to full faith and credit and enforcement and does not need to be registered in the state.

E. Maintain Archiving Scanned Cases

The Document Technician position at Crownpoint court is essential. Over 579 total cases were archived during this quarter with 21,384 pages. There were 531 case records, and 23 court orders filed or retrieval with 76 pages. Seven resources requested for disposition reports for criminal and traffic background records with a total of 25 requests this quarter. Document Technician continues to close, scan, and file closed cases.

F. Non-Essential Cases

Court clerks continue to process pro se packets and monitor the filing of all cases. All cases continue being docketed pursuant to current administrative order. Essential and non-essential cases continue being calendared despite the COVID-19 pandemic. The courts for Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado continue to utilize technology and moving forward to Roadmap to Full Capacity.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Continue essential court operations under the existing Judicial Branch Administrative Orders as directed by the Chief Justice.
- B. Continue to comply with the health & safety guidelines under the federal CDC and Navajo Nation Division of Health Public Health Emergency Orders.
- C. Continue to maintain the safety, welfare and moral of the judicial district personnel, litigants and general public, to promote safety in the workplace, homes and communities during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- D. Continue to conduct Quarterly Resource meetings for the Eastern Navajo Agency and attend Pueblo Pintado Chapter meetings.

E. DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. To facilitate the Dilkon Judicial District Resource Meetings to network and collaborate with local resources to improve services to the public: This quarter, regardless of challenges we are all facing during the COVID-19 pandemic, we continue to meet virtually/telephonically the best way we can to achieve this particular set goal, by having our resource meetings with the local resources to keep the communication and to update each other to serve our customers and clients. Resource Meetings are conducted by the Staff Attorney.

- B. To conduct two (2) in-service trainings for the district staff: In-services, meeting and planning are held virtually regarding court scheduling and planning on all cases and hearings; communicate customer services; case management update/edits on forms to better communicate with customers and local resources.
- C. To plan, organize, prepare and structure regarding Roadmap Phase Three: Dilkon Judicial District will submit a plan to Office of Chief Justice and COOP Team for review and approval, once all of the criteria are established.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

- A. Currently, Dilkon Court is approved for Phase Two of the Roadmap guidance by the COOP Team. Dilkon Court never 'closed' to the public, but operates daily using virtual/telephonic means to conduct court hearings, customer service with drop-box and drive-up services. It's been a long difficult two-plus years as we prepare and plan to next level of the Roadmap of Phase Three and Four; moving forwards re-open to the public completely. Please visit our website for more information.
- B. Both the Judge and Staff Attorney attended virtual trainings and meetings.
- C. Court Administrator has virtually attended meetings: judicial branch Strategic Planning, COOP meetings, CA/Managers meetings; JustWare/Statistical trainings and meetings; APRA FRF Budget Review meetings; assist other districts with interviews.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter:

- A. To facilitate the Dilkon Judicial District Resource Meetings 'virtually,' to network and collaborate with local resources to improve services to the public.
- B. To conduct two (in-service) 'virtual' trainings for the district staff regarding the current situation of Coronavirus pandemic, safety protocols, self-care, etc.
- C. To plan, organize, prepare and structure regarding the Phase Three of the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance.

F. DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. The Dził Yijiin Judicial District continues to deliver expanding court services within the Dził Yijiin region. In accordance with Navajo Nation Supreme Court Administrative Order 13-2022, the Dził Yijiin Judicial Court is closed to the public, the Court has been conducting expanded court operations. All services are subject to temporary mitigation measures designed to protect our patrons and team members to the greatest extent possible.

B. Physical access to the Dził Yijiin Judicial Court continues to be limited. However, personnel are readily available to assist the public through contact-free methods by electronic processes: email and facsimile, in addition to, U.S. Postal Service Mail and an outside drop box located next to the main entrance. Majority of the court appearances are handled telephonic conferencing and through Skype. Individuals are encouraged to call or email the Court in lieu of making personal appearances to take care of their court business. Payments are made through contact-free payments for civil traffic fines to be paid by credit or debit card online through Justice Web.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Dził Yijiin District continues to monitor the COVID-19 situation and take measures to help prevent virus spread in our community. Judge Thompson held court hearings in-person and by utilizing alternative means of presiding over cases through teleconferencing or Skype for Business. Hearing Officer Denetsosie continues to conduct virtual hearings on Petition for Domestic Abuse Protection Orders every Monday.

B. Dził Yijiin Judicial District is eligible moving forward with Phase Four; and is optimistic with the current established safety protocols. Dził Yijiin Judicial District has met the Phase Three criteria pursuant to the Judicial Branch Roadmap to Full Capacity and is currently operating in Phase Three.

C. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District in coordination with DNA People's Legal Services held a pro se work shop on October 5, 2022 at the Dzil Yijiin district parking lot.

D. During first quarter, Court Administrator attended the following Skype meeting and presentations; representing Dził Yijiin Judicial District:

- Remain participation in the bi-monthly Judicial Branch leadership skype meetings regards to discussions, plans and updates as a condition of Judicial Branch continuity of operations (COOP) plan on essential court operations during the Covid-19 pandemic.
- On October 6, 2022 attended the Dzil Yijiin Regional Council virtual meeting.
- Attended Pinon Chapter meeting on October 10 and November 14, 2022.
- Office Tech., Court Clerk and CA attended Financial Management Information System (FMIS) processes training during October 24 27, 2022.
- October 31, 2022, Dzil Yijiin district held a resource meeting with: Navajo Police Department, District Prosecutor, Social Services, Department of Corrections, IHS Mental health department, Pinon Chapter, Pinon Unified School District (PUSD) and

42

- discussed to expand and provide efficient services to the public also by what method to address case backlogs.
- CA attended virtual CA and Managers meeting on November 17.
- December 5, 2022 CA participated in the FY 2022 General Fund carryover work session and submitted a favorable budget for the district.
- Staff continue to participate and complete the KnowBe4 security training issued by Navajo Nation DIT.
- Dzil Yijiin Court Administrator participated in the resource meeting held by Chinle Judicial District.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

A. Dził Yijiin Judicial District will continue court operations on processing all case types and provide services during this COVID-19 pandemic.

B. The district is eligible for Phase Four of re-opening. Continue to advocate to obtain approval for Roadmap to Full Capacity - Phase Four operations, in accordance with guidance.

C. Staff will continue to assist in processing all case types beyond essential court matters and Court Administrator will work with Judge Thompson to schedule further in-person court hearings.

D. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District continues to be severely occupied with public health agencies, healthcare providers, local entities and partnering agencies to mitigate the impact of the virus. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District will persist in encouraging the use of face coverings, physical distancing and disinfection practices, and all other measures our court has followed throughout the pandemic.

G. KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. Court Administrator participated in three meetings with the Navajo Nation Office of the President and new Kayenta Township manager in attempts to secure transfer of funds approved by Navajo Nation Council resolution CJY-33-22. The \$13,215,000 will fund the new Kayenta Judicial Complex construction. The 17,000 square foot complex will provide a permanent safe, secure and modern building not only for the Judicial Branch but the Office of the Prosecutor and Office of Public Defender. The programs provide judicial services to the seven Navajo Nation communities of Kayenta, Ts'ah Bii Kin, Naatsis'aan, Oljato, Dennehotso, Shonto, and Chilchinbeto.

B. Court Administrator participated in a Judicial Branch Salary Study meeting on October 14, 2022.

C. Court Administrator participated in two preliminary Fiscal Year 2023 Navajo Nation General Fund Budget formulation work sessions.

- D. District employees participated in the following trainings:
 - Judge Letitia Stover successfully completed a National Judicial College Webinar training
 entitled, "Tribal Adjudication of Violent Crime-What Judges Should Know" on November
 28, 2022. The training identifies ways to adjudicate violent crime cases; create and support
 a victim-centered approach inside the courtroom and during the adjudication; and describe
 a trauma-informed judiciary. The course addresses Judge Stover's annual training
 requirement as a probationary judge.
 - Court Administrator participated in a National Center for State Courts sponsored webinar training entitled, "Disarming Disinformation" on October 06, 2022. The training provided awareness about the threat of disinformation and how courts can support public confidence by providing timely information and responding to disinformation.
 - In efforts to complete the Navajo Nation Office of the Controller initiated Expense
 Management Project to all Navajo Nation employees and address the branch financial
 processes. Office Technician, Bailiff and Court Administrator participated in a Judicial
 Branch training entitled, "Financial Management Information Training and Financial
 Management Information Systems (FMIS) 6B Rollout Overview" on October 24-27,
 2022. Participants successfully acquired the basics of the FMIS Common Foundations and
 advanced 6B Procurement Sections.
 - All Kayenta Judicial staff participated in a Zoom training entitled, "Verbal De-escalation" on October 28, 2022. The objective was to teach staff personal and professional skills to learn to remain calm and react more effectively when confronted with anger in order to reduce the chance of a situation escalating to physical aggression. The training included exercises involving body language and posturing as well as empathetic verbal language.
 - All Kayenta Judicial District staff participated in a Zoom training entitled, "*Trauma Care and Mass Casualty Triage*" on November 02, 2022. The training provided the staff an understanding of addressing a high-risk situation and the need for quick forward movement and prioritization after an incident.

E. Court Administrator participated in the Kayenta Township Community Stakeholders Meeting on December 15, 2022, in Kayenta, Arizona. The meeting of public safety agencies, tribal/state/federal community programs, local schools, merchants, churches, and community members focused on concerns regarding public safety, impact of crime, capacity building and housing.

F. Kayenta Judicial District continues to operate and expand services to the public pursuant to the Judicial Branch Continuity of Operations Plan (March 27, 2020) and Administrative Order 13-2022. The Judge and all staff report to offices daily to ensure access to the judicial system by the public. Court staff assist visitors at our court building front doors by utilizing a Ring doorbell device. The electronic activates when the visitor presses the button of the doorbell or when it senses a visitor with its built-in motion sensors. Staff can watch and talk with the visitor by using the doorbell's camera and microphone.

G. The district participated in bi-monthly updates as part of the Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation Continuity of Operations (COOP) Plan on essential court operations during the Covid-19 Virus pandemic.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

- A. Judge Letitia Stover participated in Quarterly Judicial Conference teleconference meetings on October 04, 2022 and December 29, 2022. Conference participants received Judicial Branch updates and new developments.
- B. Court Administrator participated in a meeting to address the Judicial Branch Strategic Plan revisions on December 02, 2022.
- C. Court Administrator participated in Fiscal Year 2023 Navajo Nation General Fund Budget Carryover formulation work session on December 05, 2022.
- D. Court Administrator served as a presenter at the 25th Navajo Nation Council orientation on December 12, 2022 in Twin Arrows, Arizona. The Judicial Branch provided highlighted presentations of Judicial Vision, Mission and branch operations.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. To provide for three in-service trainings for the district staff.
- B. To complete a full caseload accounting.
- C. To continue planning and achieve Roadmap to Full Capacity Phase Four status.
- D. To obtain approved fiscal documents to commence the Kayenta Judicial Complex construction phase.
- E. To promote positive and more effective working relationships with the community, local law enforcement and other public service organizations through monthly meetings.

H. RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. The Ramah Judicial Court is awaiting confirmation from the Administrative Office of the Courts to continue planning, organizing, preparing to enter Phase four of the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance. Ramah Court continues working towards returning to full capacity in a safe manner, and according to the Road Map to full capacity guidelines while including CDC guidelines. Staff continues to be on a rotating schedule for court daily operations to answer telephone calls, process fines and fees, process postal mail and hearings.

- B. During Quarter One, the hired custodian employee did not meet the 90-days probationary period. Ramah Judicial District will continue to work with Judicial Branch Human Resources Department to filling the vacant court custodian position.
- C. During this quarter compiling information for the annual employees' evaluation for FY2022 is nearly completed of the court employee's annual evaluation. Upon completion will be submitted to the office of the Judicial Branch Human Resources Department.
- D. During Quarter one, Ramah Judicial Court continued with providing expanded court services with the other program services. Maintain the safety and welfare of the judicial district personnel, litigants and general public and promote safety in the workplace, homes, and communities to continue court operations under the COVID-19 pandemic.
- E. The Background Check: The Ramah Judicial District honored overall 26 dispositional requests from the Ramah Navajo School Broad, Inc., the Office of FBI Investigation Office, Gallup, New Mexico, and Window Rock Background Investigation Office. Requests are for outstanding Criminal and Traffic background checks or copies of completed payments regarding traffic citations. Background checks are for employment purposes.
- F. Judicial Branch Conference: On July 1, 2022, Judge Malcolm Laughing attended the Judicial Branch Conference for the Judges, with Chief Justice.
- G. Ramah Phase 4 document: Submitted on August 8, 2022 to be approved of Ramah Judicial District's Request to Enter Phase Four of Road Map to Full Capacity. Pursuant to the responsibilities delineated in the Judicial Branch Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance document, and in the role of Coordinator, approve the Ramah Judicial District's to enter into Phase Four of the re-opening process.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

- A. During our Daily Operation: This 1st quarter due to COVID-19, the Ramah Court Staff are continuing with on-call slight change schedule system where only two or three staff are in the court building to mitigate exposure to COVID 19. Staff are self-monitoring before symptoms of the virus or the common cold before they report for work and as they coming into the building, staff sanitize their hands and they take their temperature before going to their work station. Staff continues to be on a rotating schedule for court daily operations answer telephone calls, process fines and fees, process postal mail and hearings.
- B. Court Services/telephone calls/fax services: This 1st quarter there were 253 people signed in for services, 546 telephone calls logged in/out were received, and there were 10 incoming/outgoing fax services.
- C. The Court Clerks maintain the bench warrant list, updated and provided to Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement on a monthly basis.

- D. Weekly Bank Deposits: Court Bailiff made bank deposits (fines & fees & cash bond) in Gallup, NM at the Wells Fargo Bank, and delivered incoming/outgoing mail to Judicial Branch Administrative Office of the Courts in Window Rock, Arizona.
- E. Quarterly Resource Meeting: This quarter, Ramah Judicial Court hosted one resource meeting. Will slowly start scheduling into next quarter. Most of the Resources Office in the surrounding community participant this School Safety Task Force meeting on prepared agenda. Topics were Student Attendance/Truancy Concerns. Team input, and Student Handbook to be developed. Introductions: Pine Hill School –Dormitory, Ramah Navajo Head-start with Face Program, Ramah Navajo Social Services, Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement Department, Ramah Navajo Traditional Peacemaking, Ramah Navajo Behavioral Health, & Ramah Judicial District Court. During the meeting in the court room all participants went by CDC guidance, sanitizing their hands, 6 ft distance, and everyone wearing face mask. It was a good gathering, we will continue into next quarter.
- F. Court Administrators/Managers Meetings: Court Administrator attended Skype meetings and made presentations representing Ramah Judicial District. (1) Attended Court Administrator and Manager Skype meeting held on October 20, 2022 and November 17, 2022. (2) Continued to participate in the bi-monthly Judicial Branch Skype meetings on discussions and updates with Judicial Branch plans and operations, during this pandemic. (3) Participated in any Expenditure Plan meetings: Hashkeeji Fund meetings (4) Coordinated the documentation of the New Ramah Judicial Court Complex. (5) Coordinated with Court Bailiff to assist with sorting and distribution of supplies, upon receipts of shipments at the Administrative Office of the Courts Building.
- G. Bi-Monthly Judicial Branch Meeting: Court Administrator, Judge Malcom Laughing, and Staff Attorney make themselves available to the bi-monthly Judicial Branch meetings, and other scheduled meetings with Business Unit. Recieved information related to the Branch's responses to the public health emergency, COVID-19 safety protocols, court operation, telework operations, and other relevant information such as update Judicial Branch external budget, and status reports is shared with employees during the bi-monthly meetings.
- H. Ramah Regular Chapter Meeting: Court Administrator attended via teleconference to three (3) Ramah Navajo Chapter Planning meetings and three (3) Ramah Navajo Regular Chapter meetings. Continued giving reports on a daily Court Operations, and development of update of seeking funds for the New Ramah Court Facility Complex. Going forward seeking financial support for the floor plan & design of the court facility, and other related operation of the Ramah Judicial Court, and the staff's work schedule, dates of hearing schedule has been continued. Closure schedule of the Ramah Judicial Courts during the holidays was provided.
- I. Training: Court Administrator, Office Technician, Court Clerk, Court Bailiff, Traditional Program Specialist, Probation/Parolee Officer, and Custodian attended Employee Policy Procedures presented by Judicial Branch Training Manager on October 07, 2022. Court

Administrator, Office Technician, Court Clerk, Court Bailiff, and Custodian attended Violence Against Woman: Root Causes & Reined on November 09, 2022,

- J. Waterline Breakage: The Ramah Judicial Court closed the court building due to waterline issues. The staff teleworked for one and a half days. The Ramah Navajo Utility Facilitates fixed the waterline behind the court building on November 29 & 30, 2022.
- K. Judicial Branch Carry-Over Work Session: A work session to discuss the FY 2022 Carry-over attended with other court administrators, and other business unit managers. Work session was led by Fiscal Services Manager, and Financial Technician through Skype. Each business unit's carry over was to be put into line items, and some into personnel to make some positions full time. Meeting was to update everyone about submitting their carry over budget before the deadline date of December 5, 2022,
- L. Oath of Office: Judge Malcolm Laughing administered the Oath of Office to (5) five correction officers for Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement Services on December 8, 2022.
- M. Court Custodian last day of Employment: Court Custodian, McToliver Eriacho last day of his employment on December 9, 2022 at 12:00 p.m.
- N. Quarterly Judicial Conference: The Judges attended the quarterly judicial branch conference via teleconference. Conference participants received Judicial Branch updates and new development. The court administrators and program managers were not included in the judicial conference.
- O. Ramah Phase 4 document: Ramah Judicial District submitted requesting to Enter Phase 4 of Road Map to Full Capacity. Pursuant to the responsibilities delineated in the Judicial Branch Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance document, and in the role of Coordinator, approve the Ramah Judicial District's to enter into Phase 4 of the re-opening process. On-going awaiting to enter Phase 4. Plan and prepare with Roadmap to Full Capacity and building modification for re-opening in the future.

3. Objectives to Be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. The Ramah Judicial District will continue to work with Judicial Branch Human Resources Department in filling the vacant position.
- B. The court administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiff, and custodian.
- C. To complete FY2022 annual employee evaluation.
- D. To continue addressing archival scanned cases pursuant to the performance criteria.

I. SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

- A. Shiprock Project Tse'bit ah Criminal Justice Facility: The narrative and table summary of the Tse Bit a'i Justice Center Preliminary Value Engineering Narrative is on file with the Shiprock Judicial District and the Judicial Branch Office of Judicial Administration with Administrative Director of the Court's office. Discussion held on November 18, 2022, and revisions approved on December 1, 2022. The Value Engineering approach to maintain the original design concept and construct the Justice Center in phases. Maintaining the original design will minimize the construction cost of future phases. The project cost for the Navajo Nation cannot exceed \$83,000.000. Including the \$3.15M New Mexico grants funds, the total project budget cannot exceed \$86,150,000. This includes modification or eliminate some of the architectural and site features to reduce the budget, e.g. reduce size of the Justice Center by approximately 10% or 9,800 sq. ft.
- B. <u>Continuity of Operations Plan:</u> The Shiprock Judicial District continues to operate in accordance with the Continuity of Operations Plan. Coordination of work schedule among twelve court personnel; managing with scheduling limited employees during business hours; and management has incorporated a work schedule in the evening and during the weekend so employees can complete their forty hours per week.
- C. <u>Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan</u>: The Shiprock Judicial District management continues to manage the district court services with guidance of the Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan. Building modifications for the district courtroom is pending final touches in framing out the plexi-glass to stabilize and secure it in place.

The Shiprock Judicial District management has submitted a Phase I Plan in accordance to the Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan, and submission of the district Phase 1 plan to the Judicial Branch COOP team. The district's Phase I Plan was returned by the COOP team with recommendations and further information requested. The Phase I Plan pending update.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Financial Management Information System (FMIS) 6B certified court personnel, Judge Genevieve Woody, Court Administrator, Court Clerk, and Office Technician attended the Financial Management Information Training on October 24, 2022 thru October 27, 2022. Overview on order requisition, approving order requisition, and receiving report & 3-way matching; general fund budget overview; in-house general ledgers and external funds; Procurement Policy and Procedures; contracts; Travel Policy and Procedures; P-Card Policy and Procedures; Underwriting Exposure Summary; Motor Vehicle Policy & Procedures.

B. The Shiprock Judicial Court personnel have completed training and re-certification of CPR, AED, First Aid for Adults, and Blood Borne Pathogens this quarter. Training and re-certification thru the San Juan College School of Energy.

- C. Shiprock Judicial management submitted a budget for the FY-2022 General Fund carry over for \$44,795.17. Carry over fund will certainly benefit shortfalls of the district's operation and maintenance expenses.
- D. This first quarter, three hundred and ninety-nine (399) new filing received by the Shiprock Judicial District, and six hundred and forty-eight (648) cases are closed.
- E. Continuation of on-going case review of all types of cases, case management efforts to bring all pending cases current.
- F. Bi-Monthly Judicial Branch Meetings via Skype for Business: Judicial Branch bi-monthly meetings are still held, and operational information, Covid-19 and health and safety updates are provided by Health and Safety Advisor and COOP Coordinator.

G. District's Essential Services Provided

Services	Received	Sent Out
Telephone Calls	2,327	
Fax Transmittals	0	0
New Established Court's E-	1,486	
mail		
On-Line Payment	0	
Call-In Payment	9	
Postal Mail & Drop Box	318	908
Documents Filed w/ District	674	
Documents Filed w/ Family	379	
GRAND TOTAL	5,193	908

H. Three hundred and seventy-nine (379) family court documents filed with the Shiprock Judicial District, types of documents summarized below:

District, ty	ייי			<u></u>		*111		CD C	<i>-</i>					4 (3	7	1	LA	-1		-													
										L	Ц	23		Ľ	•			Į:	M	Ш	TV.													
COURT DOCUMENT(S) FILED WITH FAMILY COURT	Application for Legal Counsel/Indigency Assessment	Pro Se Forms	Minor(s) Guardianship	Adult Guardianship	Divorce	Patemity, Custody, Visitation, Support	Quiet Title Grazing Permit	Quiet Title Homesite Lease	Quiet Title Land Use Permit	DV-Petition for TPO	Motion to Domesticate	DV-Motion to Ext./Mod. (by Respondent)	DV-Motion for Ext./Mod. (by Petitioner)	DV-Motion to Vacate PO (by Petitioner)	DV-Motion to Vacate (by Respondent)	DV-Motion for OSC (by Petitioner)	DV-Motion for OSC (by Respondent)	Name Change (Minor Child)	Name Change (Adult)	Correction of Record (DOB)	Correction of Record (Place of Birth)	Guardianship of Adult	Guardianship of Minor Child	Petition for Correction of Name Change for Decedent	Petition for Correction of Record (DOB) for Decedent	Petition for Correction of Record (Place of Birth) for Decendent	Probate (with Probate Rules & Statute)	Complaint Against a Lawyer/Advocate	Private Process Server Listing	Motion to Appoint Special Appointee Packet	Inmate Request	Release of Information Form	Other	тотац
OCTOBER	0		2	0	2	0	2	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	95	120
NOVEMBER	1		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0		2	96	126
DECEMBER	1		1	0	1	1	2	0	0	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0		2	104	133
TOTAL:	2		3	0	4	2	4	0	0	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0		4	295	379

I. Six hundred and seventy-four (674) district court documents filed with the Shiprock Judicial District, types of documents summarized below:

														F	Y 2	20	2 3	}																
													18	T	QL	JA	R	Į=	R															
COURT DOCUMENTS FILED WITH DISTRICT COURT	AA SHEET	Affidavit of Service	Application for Legal Counsel	Apology Letter	Call-Up Sheet	Certificate of DWI	Certificate of VIP	Conditions of Probation	Court Request Form	CSW Agreement	CSW Report - PPO	Executed Bench Warents	Memos on LVES & Certificates	Motions & Orders	New CR Complaint	New District Civil Petition	New Small Claims Form	New TRCR Complaint	New TRCV Complaint	Presentence Report- PPO	Request for Extension	Request for Record/Background	Request for Transport	Request to Convert CSW back to Fine	Request to Convert Fine to CSW	Screening /Assessment/ Treatment	ent of Co	Status Report - PPO	Subpeonas Filed	Subpoenas Return of Service	Summons Return of Service	Plea Statement (Traffic Citations)	отнек	TOTAL
OCTOBER	0	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	1	8	2	11	0	0	0	1	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	26	3	53	148
NOVEMBER	0	0	0	3	42	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	0	18	0	0	0	0	13	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	42	142
DECEMBER	0	4	0	1	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	15	73	1	1	11	131	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	27	384
TOTAL	0	4	2	4	153	0	0	0	0	3	3	25	2	44	73	1	1	12	173	3	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	26	5	122	674

- 3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter
- A. FY-2024 Budget Formulation.
- B. Continue to provide essential services including non-essential services.

- C. Continue with the Building Modification for safe work environment.
- D. Hire and fill the last vacant position of the district court clerk position.
- E. Continue Training on the Continuity of Operation Plan.
- F. Continue Training on the Roadmap to Full Capacity.
- G. Continuation TseBit'ai Justice Center Court coordination meeting.
- H. Continuation Conduct district caseload inventory for calendar year 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022.

J. TO'HAJIILEE - ALAMO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- 1. Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter
- A. Archive cases Docket lists: Court Administrator Regina Begay Roanhorse created docket lists from 2003 to 2022 because the former clerk destroyed the lists. So this mean a physical inventory of many cases. Altogether, the Court Administrator 40 cases mostly from 2015 Family Court Cases.
- B. Conduct More In-person Hearings: The Judicial district has 14 more in person hearings regarding a domestic violence matter concerning several parties. What was interesting is that one legal counsel asked for in person hearing and the other legal counsel asked for a web ex hearing. So we conducted a hybrid (in person and web ex) hearing for seven of those hearings because the legal counsel was from Phoenix, Arizona.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

- A. PHASE TWO APPROVED COVID 19 Pandemic: The Alamo Judicial District and the To'Hajiilee Judicial District each submitted a Phase 2 plan checklist, pursuant to the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance; and they were both approved.
- B. Alchini Bi Behazaani training (Navajo Children's Code): On November 8, 2022, Staff Attorney provided the court staff with training on the Alchini Bi Behazaani Code, "Children's Code", online. Court staff learned the purpose of the Code and also the timelines that need to be adhered to when processing cases.
- C. Two Clerks Hired: To'Hajiilee District and Family court clerk's positions were filled and case management increased with the hiring and training of the second clerk.
- D. Emergency Management Community Meeting To'Hajiilee: On September 22, 2022, there was an active shooter in the To'Hajiilee community. The response was that the school shut down, as well as the local clinic. The Court also closed for about an hour. On October 5, 2022, the community service providers met to work on an Emergency management plan for notification. Options were discussed. Court Administrator was placed on the community

emergency app for the school, so that notification is given to the courts if there is another incident. We did a follow up meeting on October 17, 2022 and there was a draft plan submitted by the local ToHajiilee Chapter officials.

- E. McKinley County Community Health Group: Court Administrator attended an online meeting with the McKinley Community Health meeting to represent any restorative justice initiative to create drug courts or veterans drug courts in McKinley county. Judge Begay, from the state, had asked for my assistance at the last Tribal Healing to Wellness Court meeting, however, there has been no communications since then. We will continue to introduce the concept of restorative justice to the health systems of care.
- F. Navajo Nation Council: Court Administrator attended the online meeting of the Navajo Nation Council Fall Session on October 17, 2022. There was mention of back log and the Dilkon delegate made comments about the courts. Court Administrator also attended the NABI committee meeting on December 19, 2022 online. There wasn't much about Judicial Branch at this meeting. She also attended the Council meeting on the Title 17 Navajo Nation Code Amendments until 7 p.m at night, and didn't know the outcome, but it was a good discussion of restorative justice.
- G. Native Center for Behavioral Health, University of Iowa: Court Administrator attended an online training on November 10, 2022, sponsored by Native Center for Behavioral Health, University of Iowa, College of Public Health. She provided information on the Navajo Judicial Branch project (Peer Support) that was funded by the USDOJ in 2018. The purpose of the series is to provide Native American Veterans with support services and to have a learning collaborative for veterans which provides access to culturally responsive resources including treatment and healing options. It is important to remember that development of drug courts involve partnerships with health systems, including behavioral health (e,g, substance abuse and mental health services).
- H. To'Hajiilee Chapter Meeting: Court Administrator and Staff Attorney attended the online meeting of the To'hajiilee chapter on December 19, 2022 and gave update on the number of hearings held at the court and staffing.
- I. Native American Subcommittee New Mexico Behavioral Health Planning Council: Court Administrator attended the Native American Subcommittee Meeting for the Behavioral Health Planning Council chaired by the Secretary of Indian Affairs for the New Mexico state government on December 20, 2022.
- 3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter
- A. Archive cases Docket lists
- B. Conduct more in person hearings

K. TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter:

A. C<u>ourt Operation.</u> The Tuba City Judicial District continues to deliver court services via electronic filing, telephonic services, postal mail, facsimile, credit card/money order for payments and drop boxes. All court hearings are telephonic and conference call numbers are provided to the customers to call in for their court hearings. In addition to providing essential services, the Honorable Victor J. Clyde and Honorable Cecelia Tallman continue to hear all case types filed with the court. Currently, the backlog of cases are of high volume due to the minimal services since March 2020. The management team is working on addressing and moving cases forward for the customers.

B. <u>General Staff Meeting.</u> Court Administrator provided up-dates on court operations and shared information on plans for Roadmap to Full Recovery advancement plans, budgets, supplies, training and work schedules. Also, shared with staff are administrative orders from the Office of Chief Justice with special emphasis on preventing the spread of COVID-19 Coronavirus, keeping office areas clean by the staff. Staff are reminded to keep work areas sanitized at all times and protocols on work place health and safety.

C. Weekly Judicial Branch COOP Meetings. The Honorable Victor Clyde, the Honorable Cecelia Tallman, Staff Attorney and Court Administrator attended the bi-weekly Judicial Branch telephonic meetings. The Judicial Branch on a bi-weekly basis, meet with Judicial Districts, Program Managers and key administrative staff. COOP Coordinator and key staff provided information the status of the COVID-19 pandemic and the latest up-dates from the Center for Disease Control (CDC) and Navajo Department of Health (NDOH) guidelines. For the safety of the staff, the Judicial Branch, in its facilities, requires wearing masks, social distancing, sanitizing work spaces and thorough cleaning of facilities.

D. <u>Court Administrator</u>, <u>Business Managers and AOC Meeting</u>. Two meetings were held during this reporting period on October 29, 2922 and November 17, 2022, Court Administrators from Window Rock Judicial District and from Shiprock Judicial District, respectively facilitated the meetings via SKYPE for Business. Court Administrator attended the two meetings. The group discussed and shared information regarding administrative court operation, such as; special duty pay budget, salary study, Roadmap to Full Capacity and Phase Advancements, General funds and ARPA budgets, Professional Service Contracts, and Justware updates.

E. <u>Financial and FMIS 6B Procurement Training.</u> On October 24 - 27, 2022, Financial Service Manager, Senior Budget Analyst and Financial Specialists provided the training. The Honorable Victor J. Clyde, Court Administrator and Facilities Maintenance Technician attended the training along with other Judicial Branch employees. The training was informative and presenters provided information on their job duties and FMIS 6B Purchasing Procurement. The training was held at Twin Arrows Casino, Flagstaff, Arizona.

F. <u>Trauma Care.</u> On October 12 and 17th, Court Administrator and three staff attended the training sponsored by TAC*ONE Consulting. The training focused on real life experiences in a "life or death" situation. Participants learned how to assist and identify individuals in need of immediate medical attention such as major bleeding and trauma. Attendees also learned how to gain control

of a traumatic injury. Most of all, how to remain calm and be of assistance in stressful situation. The training was very beneficial and informative.

- G. <u>Navajo Children's Code Procedure.</u> On November 29, 2022 to December 2, 2022, new Judge Cecelia Tallman attended this training via SKYPE for business. The training was virtual and provided by Staff Attorney from Dilkon Judicial District.
- H. <u>Office Technician Meetings</u>. Financial Technicians held meetings with all the Judicial Districts. The meetings provided instructions to follow all requirements of the FMIS purchasing procurements. Participants raised questions and clarifications were provided to the staff. Office Technician participated in the meetings. Financial Technician facilitated the meetings.
- I. <u>CourtCall.com</u>. On October 12, 2022, the Tuba City Judicial District utilized the services of CourtCall.com for one criminal court proceeding. Court Administrator made the initial scheduling of the case with CourtCall.com. Thereafter, the parties contact CourtCall or visit the website to schedule their appearance. On the day of the court hearing, with the assistance of the moderator, the parties connected as instructed and wait for their case to be called. When the Judge takes the bench, it's business as usual and those making a court call appearance are brought live into the courtroom when the case is called. CourtCall was established in 1995, with the desire to make remote court appearances simple, accessible and affordable for all parties. CourtCall's remote appearance is designed to serve the specific needs of the Court with the latest communication technologies. The participating courts are provided with the necessary equipment to access CourtCall's remote appearance.
- J. <u>Assignment of Judges</u>. On November 4, 2022, Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne issued Administrative Order #35-2022, temporarily assigned newly appointed Judge Cecelia Tallman to Tuba City Judicial District. On November 16,2022, Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne issued Administrative Order #38-2022, temporarily re-assigned Judge Victor J. Clyde to Window Rock Judicial District.
- K. <u>Office Technician Meetings</u>. Financial Technicians held numerous meetings with all the Judicial Districts. The meetings provided instructions to follow all FMIS purchasing procurements and its requirements. The Navajo Nation cut-off dates were reiterated for compliance purposes. Participants raised questions and clarifications were provided. Office Technician participated in the meetings. Financial Technician facilitated the meetings.

L. <u>Daily Visitor Sign-in and Metal Detector Count for October, November, December 2022.</u> Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, limited access is allowed into the court building.

		FY 2	023 -	First	Quart	er Da	ily V	isitor	Sign-	in Re	port				
MONTH	Court Hearing	Protection Order	Pay Fine/Cash	Filing Documents	Obtain Information	Check Mail	Attend Training	Background Check	Oath of Office	Maintenance of	Attend Meeting	Other Hearings	Other	Total Clients Served	Metal Detector Reading
Oct 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	48	0
Nov 2022	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	0
Dec 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Total:	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	48	0	1	62	62

M. Request for court documents and audio for Oct., Nov., and Dec. 2022.

Month:	Oct - 2022	Nov - 2022	Dec - 2022	Total:
Total Court Document Request	17	10	10	37
Completed documents Request	7	7	3	17
Pending Document Request	10	3	7	20
Total Audio Request	3	1	2	6
Completed Audio Request	3	1	2	6
Pending Audio Request	0	0	0	0

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. <u>Pro Se Training.</u> Staff Attorney provided three (3) pro se trainings on probate, quite title and name change and for the public. The training is for individuals that wish to file pro se packets

with the court. The individuals who want to represent themselves are required to attend pro se training so they are aware of what the law requires and their duties and responsibilities in court. The total attendance for the Pro Se training during this reporting period is twenty-nine (29) participants. Three court staff attended the training, they are Court Clerk, Bailiff and Facilities Maintenance Technician.

- B. <u>Judicial Hearing Officer (JHO)</u>. Judicial Hearing Officer continues to hear domestic violence (DV) cases for the Tuba City Judicial District every week on Wednesdays. Due to the high volume of DV petitions filed with the court, the "overflow" of cases are scheduled on Fridays. All hearings are via telephonic conference calls. Judge Tallman also presided over DV cases when the Judicial Hearing Officer was not available.
- C. <u>Heating</u>, <u>Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Meetings</u>. Grants Administrator facilitated numerous meetings to streamline Judicial Districts' needs for contract development for building repairs and maintenances following the FMIS 6B procurement policies. Court Administrator, Office Technician and Facilities Maintenance Tech participated in the meetings. The Tuba City Judicial District has one PSC for HVAC services going through the 164 process.
- D. Shonto Local Governance Review Board Oath of Office. On November 2, 2022, Judge Victor J. Clyde administered the oath of office to Shonto Local Governance Review Board Member Marsh Greyeyes. Ms. Greyeyes represents the Black Mesa Community.
- E. <u>Navajo Nation Judicial Branch Conference.</u> On October 4, 2022, the Office of the Chief Justice, held a judicial conference via SKYPE for Business. Judge Clyde attended the meeting. On December 29, 2022, the Office of the Chief Justice, held a judicial conference via SKYPE for Business. Judge Tallman attended the Judicial conference. Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne and the Associate Justices facilitated the meetings.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. To review court operations and processes to become more efficient and to eliminate duplication of services for court.
- B. To close adjudicated cases.
- C. To arrange for telephonic court hearings for all cases filed with the court.

L. WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- 1. Accomplishment of Objectives set in the Previous Quarter:
- A. COVID-19 Court Response: As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, it is imperative to understand the changing guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons, for the Coronavirus variants, for vaccine booster shots, and to clean, disinfect COVID-19 contaminated facilities provided by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the Navajo Nation Health Command Operations Center (HCOC).

The Window Rock Judicial District is taking preventative measures but still addressing all cases on the Court's docket. The Court continues to address hearings telephonically with the exceptions of parties that request in person hearings. Extended telework agreements will be put in place with the upcoming wave of the Omicron sub-variant that is now in twenty-five states as of this writing. The potential for devolution is very high and will remain so until the upcoming wave subsides.

Most of the Court's time is spent on children's, civil, family civil, criminal, civil traffic hearings, and domestic violence proceedings due to daily filings and bench warrants outstanding.

Court documents are received in person or by drop-box, postal mail, electronic, and fax. Staff members are on a rotating schedule to come into the office to answer telephone calls, process fines and fee payments, process postal mail, process filings, and clerk hearings. They are doing an extraordinary job in providing service to the public with the bare essentials. Currently, the court has reopened to the public with the exception of telephonic hearings.

B. ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSE:

- 1. The WRJD and HR Office continue to work with staff regarding possible or actual COVID-19 exposures. To date, no exposures resulting in positive COVID-19 cases have occurred onsite at the facility. However, out of an abundance of caution, even if there is possible exposure to our staff and facilities, the HR Office works with the district/program leadership, the Health & Safety Advisor, and the COOP Coordinator on timeframes for closing court facilities if necessary, arranging for sister courts to continue operating for a temporarily closed court, if needed, and rearranging staff as necessary. If there is possible exposure to staff, HR also conducts contact tracing. Honorable Malcolm Begay, Honorable Victor Clyde and Judicial Hearing Officer preside and hear all Window Rock Judicial Family and District Court cases.
- 2. Judge Malcolm Begay administers Oaths to various personnel such as the Process Servers, Correction Officers, Internal Affairs personnel, and Navajo Nation/State Police Officers for annual and cross-deputations via Skype.
- 3. Staff Attorney assists in closing WRJD's backlog cases and drafting orders for the Honorable Cynthia Thompson, Honorable Victor Clyde and Honorable Malcolm Begay. Staff Attorney helps the judges with legal questions or research. The Staff Attorney has concentrated on backlogged cases created during the public health emergency. Backlogged cases are continuous, and efforts to obtain assistance for the Staff Attorney are ongoing.
- 4. To further address the case backlog, Window Rock Judicial District will seek funding from the FY 23 General Funds Personnel Lapse funds to hire a Judicial Clerk and interns to assist with drafting Orders for the backlog. To date, there are no further applicants.
- 5. Staff Attorney assists the WRJD with legal research and questions on civil case matters.

- 6. Judge Begay attended the WRJD Modular Building procurement meetings via skype with the Department of Community Development, NEZ/FCI, Construction Project Manager, and Administrative Director. Construction of the temporary facilities is ongoing and delivery is scheduled to be completed this fiscal year.
- 7. On a monthly basis, Acting Court Administrator ensures that all WRJD bank deposits were successfully recorded and closed by the Cashier's Office.
- 8. The Window Rock Judicial District/Administrative of the Court building was closed due to potential COVID-19 exposure. The Court did a limited devolution, for two days, to continue essential services and functions. The AOC building was cleaned/disinfected, and the building was reopened.

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, it is imperative to understand the changing guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons, for the Coronavirus variants, for vaccine booster shots, and to clean and disinfect COVID-19 contaminated facilities provided by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the Navajo Nation Health Command Operations Center (HCOC). The new guidance allows for quick responses to emergency exposures inside Navajo Nation facilities from the COVID-19 virus and new self-monitoring guidance for Full-Vaccinated persons.

The cleaning and disinfecting of a building or the affected workspaces can be accomplished by staff, and re-entry into a clean and safe building can occur much faster at this time. This allows for a quicker resumption of services by the courts and programs. When a Judicial Branch facility closes due to a potential or confirmed exposure, it is out of an abundance of caution to protect our personnel, and to do our best to mitigate the spread of the Coronavirus and its variants in the communities where we live and work.

- 9. Court Administrator position remains vacant. The WRJD thanks the Office Technician for assuming the duties of Acting Court Administrator and was honored to have former Court Administrator Verlena Hale's years of service that are invaluable to WRJD. The WRJD welcomes Honorable Victor Clyde to the court in late December 2022; he is currently addressing half of the district's caseload.
- C. Navajo Nation Budget: The delegated Court Administrator and Judge Malcolm Begay participated in the FY 2023 General Funds and carryover budgets discussions.
- D. Court Preparation for Reopening: The Window Rock Judicial District has reopened the court's front desk services for the public. For the staff's safety, minimal renovations were constructed to include plexiglass barriers for staff workstations implemented for social distancing and a safe work environment. The WRJD has allowed limited access to in-person services to the public. Ongoing efforts continue, with Crownpoint Court, to address WRJD's requirements for in-person criminal/civil jury trials. The WRJD court is open for eight hours due to increased assistance with another judge available to hear cases, and the low numbers that turned out for court window services in fourth quarter in FY 2022.

E. Court Schedule: We have all our staff in the building daily to provide services to the public and process court cases with the exception of Judge Victor Clyde who is teleworking. Judge Malcolm Begay has been presiding and hearing all cases, for most of the fourth quarter 2022. With the large caseload volume, WRJD is now planning and scheduling for the calendar year 2023.

The WRJD is working with Crownpoint Judicial District and who will allow the Window Rock Judicial District to utilize the office space/facility to conduct upcoming in-person jury trials. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) has authorized the re-openings of the Crownpoint Court to address in-person trial requirements. The WRJD wishes to thank the Crownpoint Judicial District staff for assisting with WRJD jury trials, specifically Judge Livingston, Court Administrator and Staff Attorney, for reopening Crownpoint Court to Phase Three reopening. All future WRJD jury trials will be requested to be scheduled in the Crownpoint Court.

F. Through the Window Rock Court's email, 983 people registered and utilized this service. This number represents inquiries for Court hearings, case status, requiring forms, and services. Additional services were provided to individuals calling the Court; however, no-log was kept regarding this public service.

There were 153 Family Court hearings and 142 District Court hearings for this Quarter. Orders for these hearings contribute to the current backlog of cases.

For the first quarter, there were 37 document requests made, and 31 requests were completed. It should be noted that while the completed requests were limited, it can be attributed to the fact that most records are archived and need to be researched. This process is time-consuming and challenging due to the limited number of court staff assigned to our District goals.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Judge Begay participated in the bi-monthly meetings Re: COOP Bi-MONTHLY UPDATES. The Chief Justice's support staff provides weekly updates. Also, there are discussions on opening the courts to the public for court services with the Director of the Judicial Branch; such as the status of operations; Communications: Teleconference, Email, and PPE; supplies: job reassignments as necessary.

- B. Quarterly Judicial Conference: The judges attended the quarterly judicial conference via teleconference. The judges decided on the criteria for funding, concerning the Hashkeeji Funds criteria/project expenditures.
- C. Vacant Positions: The Window Rock Judicial District has four (4) vacant positions: one District Court Judge, one Court Administrator, one Court Clerk, and one Bailiff. The Human Resource Office within the Judicial Branch continues to advertise the vacant positions.

D. Training:

The Window Rock District Court Staff, Honorable Malcolm Begay attended the Lecture on Diné/Navajo stories about Death, the Afterlife, Grief and the Renewal by Traditional Practitioner/Vice-Chair of the Navajo Human Rights Commission Steve Darden. This

- lecture was invaluable to Navajo traditional legal analysis of probate and disputes concerning burials of the deceased.
- On December 22, 2022 the Human Resources and Judge Begay provided training on JBEPP leave policies, answered questions, and updated the staff on current progress of WRJD.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Staff training with the limited funds available is always a challenge; however, identifying training resources to benefit the staff remains a goal for the WRJD staff. The essential training required appears to be lacking due to staff non-participation in monthly online training. Sexual harassment and JBEPP were two topics that WRJD staff failed to attend throughout FY 2022, despite the Judicial Branch Training Officer making the training available. The lack of attendance was noted on staff annual evaluations and will be addressed throughout FY 2023. The temporary court administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiffs, and office technicians.
- B. The Window Rock Judicial District seeks qualified applicants for the vacant Judge position. The WRJD welcomes the Honorable Judge Clyde and has been a huge factor in addressing current and backlogged cases. The statistics will greatly improve in the next quarter.
- C. Carryover funds were approved and appropriated, thus Window Rock Judicial District will be requesting funds to hire a temporary or permanent court clerk, archiving clerk, law clerk, attorney candidate, and staff attorney to assist with the immense caseload. However, with the recent proposed legislation to deny future carryovers, WRJD will probably expend quite a few carryover funds on training through FY 2023.
- D. The Window Rock District's current facility housing is temporary at the Administrative Office of the Courts. The Construction Project Supervisor continues to meet with the NEZ/FCI Company. The WRJD/PPS and NEZ/FCI will continue to meet via Zoom to discuss the contract and project summary and start with construction in phases. The project summary indicates the contractor, NEZ/FCI Co., will commence with mobilization in FY 2023 and delivery/set up of the modular building in 2023.
- E. The Window Rock District Court has been meeting with Parsons Group, Darryl Sam, to go over the construction phases of the proposed Bids with Arviso/Oakland Construction, for the permanent Judicial/Public Safety building. Scoring of the Arviso/Oakland Construction is completed.

VIII. JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSION

In the first quarter of FY 2023, regular activities of the Judicial Conduct Commission ("JCC") were affected by the public health emergency. Trainings, work sessions and meetings were not regularly held due to the pandemic. Judicial Conduct Commission members are Chairman Robert Yazzie, Vice Chairman Dr. Manley Begay Jr., Judy R. Apachee, Dr. Raymond Austin, and Rhonda

Tuni. Judicial Branch staff who assist the Commission are Government Relations Officer and Human Resources Director.

The following are some of the activities of the Judicial Conduct Commission during the FY 2023 First Quarter:

- A. The Judicial Conduct Commission held a meeting on October 15, 2022. During this meeting, the Commission decided to refer a complaint to the Chief Justice that was sent directly to the Commission. The Commission's rule states that complaints against trial judges must be filed with the Chief Justice first. (Commission Rules and Procedures, Rule 3). The Personnel Rules for Judges and Justices also states, "With the exception of sexual harassment and complaints against the Chief Justice, all complaints against judges or justices shall be initiated by filing a written complaint with the Chief Justice." (XI. Complaints and Grievance Process. A. Complaints against judges and justices). A letter dated October 20, 2022, from Chair Yazzie referred the complaint to the Chief Justice.
- B. In August 2022, the Judicial Conduct Commission recommended Cecelia Tallman for a probationary judge appointment to the President. President interviewed and appointed Tallman. On October 19, 2022, the Navajo Nation Council voted 22 in favor and 0 opposed to confirm the 2-year probationary appointment of Tallman as a Navajo Nation District Court Judge.
- C. The Commission was on the agenda and the Commission Vice Chairman was present to deliver an oral report to the Law and Order Committee during its regular meeting on October 24, 2022. However, there was no second motion to hear the report and the oral report was not delivered. The Commission submitted a written report to the Committee the same day. The Law and Order Committee also requested for a report by the Judicial Conduct Commission on December 19, 2022 and on December 27, 2022. However, the Commission was not available on December 19, 2022, and there was no quorum during the December 27, 2022 meeting. No report was given on those dates. The Commission looks forward to meeting with the 25th Navajo Nation Council Law and Order Committee.
- D. On December 16, 2022, the Commission dismissed a complaint against a judge because it lacked jurisdiction over the complaint. (Commission Rules and Procedures, Rule 3, and Personnel Rules for Judges and Judges, XI).,
- E. Human Resources Director and Government Relations Officer developed a draft job description and Position Classification Questionnaire (PCQ) for the Judicial Conduct Commission Office Technician, a new position for the Commission in the Fiscal Year 2023 budget. They also worked on finding office space for the position; however, office space in the Window Rock vicinity is very difficult to find and this task is still pending. Staff had also searched for office space outside the Window Rock area but have not found appropriate space. The job description and PCQ are pending approval before being posted as a job vacancy announcement.

IX. CASELOAD AND STATISTICAL DATA

FY2023 FIRST QUARTER OVERALL STATISTICS BY LOCATION

LOCATION	Brought I		File		Case		Closed		Pend	ding
Supreme Court	106	0.4%	15	0.4%	121	0.4%	12	0.3%	109	0.4%
Alamo	98	0.4%	12	0.3%	110	0.4%	9	0.2%	101	0.4%
Aneth	605	2.4%	56	1.5%	661	2.3%	45	1.1%	616	2.4%
Chinle	1,232	4.9%	331	8.7%	1,563	5.4%	333	8.3%	1,230	4.9%
Crownpoint	2,317	9.1%	257	6.8%	2,574	8.8%	365	9.1%	2,209	8.8%
Dilkon	4,262	16.8%	440	11.6%	4,702	16.1%	421	10.5%	4,281	17.0%
Dził Yijiin	588	2.3%	53	1.4%	641	2.2%	97	2.4%	544	2.2%
Kayenta	1,708	6.7%	463	12.2%	2,171	7.4%	446	11.1%	1,725	6.9%
Pueblo Pintado	246	1.0%	36	0.9%	282	1.0%	19	0.5%	263	1.0%
Ramah	2,031	8.0%	90	2.4%	2,121	7.3%	136	3.4%	1,985	7.9%
Shiprock	2,716	10.7%	399	10.5%	3,115	10.7%	648	16.1%	2,467	9.8%
To'hajiilee	431	1.7%	26	0.7%	457	1.6%	21	0.5%	436	1.7%
Tuba City	2,183	8.6%	593	15.6%	2,776	9.5%	509	12.7%	2,267	9.0%
Window Rock	6,079	23.9%	574	15.1%	6,653	22.8%	465	11.6%	6,188	24.6%
Probation Services	666	2.6%	360	9.5%	1,026	3.5%	399	9.9%	627	2.5%
Peacemaking	126	0.5%	86	2.3%	212	0.7%	95	2.4%	117	0.5%
TOTAL	25,394	100.0%	3,791	100.0%	29,185	100.0%	4,020	100.0%	25,165	100.0%

FY2023 FIRST QUARTER OVERALL STATISTICS BY CASE TYPE

CASE TYPE	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	1,217	4.8%	68	1.8%	1,285	4.4%	80	2.0%	1,205	4.8%
Criminal	8,400	33.1%	627	16.5%	9,027	30.9%	657	16.3%	8,370	33.3%
Civil Traffic	8,958	35.3%	1,760	46.4%	10,718	36.7%	1,489	37.0%	9,229	36.7%
Criminal Traffic	1,547	6.1%	80	2.1%	1,627	5.6%	133	3.3%	1,494	5.9%
Family Civil	2,797	11.0%	259	6.8%	3,056	10.5%	398	9.9%	2,658	10.6%
Domestic Violence	1,030	4.1%	492	13.0%	1,522	5.2%	674	16.8%	848	3.4%
Dependency	333	1.3%	28	0.7%	361	1.2%	36	0.9%	325	1.3%
Delinquency	141	0.6%	14	0.4%	155	0.5%	31	0.8%	124	0.5%
CHINS	73	0.3%	2	0.1%	75	0.3%	16	0.4%	59	0.2%
Supreme Court	106	0.4%	15	0.4%	121	0.4%	12	0.3%	109	0.4%
Probation/Parole	666	2.6%	360	9.5%	1,026	3.5%	399	9.9%	627	2.5%
Peacemaking	126	0.5%	86	2.3%	212	0.7%	95	2.4%	117	0.5%
TOTAL	25,394	100.0%	3,791	100.0%	29,185	100.0%	4,020	100.0%	25,165	100.0%

SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

					<u>.</u>		• • • • • • • •					
Case Type	Brought F	orward	File	d	Reconsid	erations	Casel	oad	Closed C	Cases	Pend	ing
Civil	102	96%	6	46%	2	100%	110	91%	6	50%	104	95%
Criminal	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	4	4%
NNBA	0	0%	7	54%	0	0%	7	6%	6	0%	1	1%
Special Proceedings	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Quarter Caseload	106	100%	13	100%	2	100%	121	100%	12	50%	109	100%

ALAMO COURT

				LAINOC	00/(/					
Case Type	Brought	Forward	Fil	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	22	22.4%	1	8.3%	23	20.9%	0	0.0%	23	22.8%
Criminal	42	42.9%	4	33.3%	46	41.8%	1	11.1%	45	44.6%
Civil Traffic	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	0	0.0%	2	2.0%
Criminal Traffic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
District Total	66		5		71		1		70	
Family Civil	23	23.5%	5	41.7%	28	25.5%	6	66.7%	22	21.8%
Domestic Violence	2	2.0%	2	16.7%	4	3.6%	2	22.2%	2	2.0%
Dependency	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Delinquency	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHINS	7	7.1%	0	0.0%	7	6.4%	0	0.0%	7	6.9%
Family Total	32		7		39	,	8		31	
Quarter Caseload	98	100.0%	12	100.0%	110	100.0%	9	100.0%	101	100.0%

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

				OODIOIA						
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	44	7.3%	4	7.1%	48	7.3%	2	4.4%	46	7.5%
Criminal	231	38.2%	10	17.9%	241	36.5%	7	15.6%	234	38.0%
Civil Traffic	96	15.9%	2	3.6%	98	14.8%	2	4.4%	96	15.6%
Criminal Traffic	56	9.3%	0	0.0%	56	8.5%	2	4.4%	54	8.8%
District Total	427		16		443		13		430	
Family Civil	132	21.8%	13	23.2%	145	21.9%	6	13.3%	139	22.6%
Domestic Violence	34	5.6%	27	48.2%	61	9.2%	22	48.9%	39	6.3%
Dependency	10	1.7%	0	0.0%	10	1.5%	4	8.9%	6	1.0%
Delinquency	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Family Total	178		40		218		32		186	
Quarter Caseload	605	100.0%	56	100.0%	661	100.0%	45	100.0%	616	100.0%

CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	70	5.7%	10	3.0%	80	5.1%	18	5.4%	62	5.0%
Criminal	486	39.4%	62	18.7%	548	35.1%	97	29.1%	451	36.7%
Civil Traffic	97	7.9%	153	46.2%	250	16.0%	86	25.8%	164	13.3%
Criminal Traffic	60	4.9%	0	0.0%	60	3.8%	1	0.3%	59	4.8%
District Total	713		225		938		202		736	
Family Civil	180	14.6%	26	7.9%	206	13.2%	40	12.0%	166	13.5%
Domestic Violence	192	15.6%	76	23.0%	268	17.1%	74	22.2%	194	15.8%
Dependency	58	4.7%	2	0.6%	60	3.8%	5	1.5%	55	4.5%
Delinquency	53	4.3%	2	0.6%	55	3.5%	3	0.9%	52	4.2%
CHINS	36	2.9%	0	0.0%	36	2.3%	9	2.7%	27	2.2%
Family Total	519	•	106		625	•	131	•	494	
Quarter Caseload	1,232	100.0%	331	100.0%	1,563	100.0%	333	100.0%	1,230	100.0%

CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	84	3.6%	7	2.7%	91	3.5%	14	3.8%	77	3.5%
Criminal	1,469	63.4%	29	11.3%	1,498	58.2%	52	14.2%	1,446	65.5%
Civil Traffic	118	5.1%	127	49.4%	245	9.5%	136	37.3%	109	4.9%
Criminal Traffic	215	9.3%	0	0.0%	215	8.4%	4	1.1%	211	9.6%
District Total	1,886		163		2,049		206		1,843	
Family Civil	261	11.3%	29	11.3%	290	11.3%	56	15.3%	234	10.6%
Domestic Violence	102	4.4%	63	24.5%	165	6.4%	88	24.1%	77	3.5%
Dependency	54	2.3%	1	0.4%	55	2.1%	4	1.1%	51	2.3%
Delinquency	12	0.5%	1	0.4%	13	0.5%	10	2.7%	3	0.1%
CHINS	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.3%	1	0.0%
Family Total	431		94		525		159		366	
Quarter Caseload	2,317	100.0%	257	100.0%	2,574	100.0%	365	100.0%	2,209	100.0%

DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	Fil	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding		
Civil	45	1.1%	3	0.7%	48	1.0%	1	0.2%	47	1.1%		
Criminal	1,400	32.8%	82	18.6%	1,482	31.5%	26	6.2%	1,456	34.0%		
Civil Traffic	2,306	54.1%	300	68.2%	2,606	55.4%	343	81.5%	2,263	52.9%		
Criminal Traffic	166	3.9%	5	1.1%	171	3.6%	2	0.5%	169	3.9%		
District Total	3,917		390		4,307		372		3,935			
Family Civil	237	5.6%	22	5.0%	259	5.5%	17	4.0%	242	5.7%		
Domestic Violence	76	1.8%	27	6.1%	103	2.2%	31	7.4%	72	1.7%		
Dependency	24	0.6%	1	0.2%	25	0.5%	1	0.2%	24	0.6%		
Delinquency	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	7	0.1%	0	0.0%	7	0.2%		
CHINS	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%		
Family Total	345		50		395		49		346			
Quarter Caseload	4,262	100.0%	440	100.0%	4,702	100.0%	421	100.0%	4,281	100.0%		

DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEIL TISHN SODICIAL DISTRICT													
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding			
Civil	13	2.2%	1	1.9%	14	2.2%	3	3.1%	11	2.0%			
Criminal	192	32.7%	19	35.8%	211	32.9%	39	40.2%	172	31.6%			
Civil Traffic	239	40.6%	6	11.3%	245	38.2%	2	2.1%	243	44.7%			
Criminal Traffic	31	5.3%	2	3.8%	33	5.1%	4	4.1%	29	5.3%			
District Total	475		28		503		48		455				
Family Civil	46	7.8%	14	26.4%	60	9.4%	18	18.6%	42	7.7%			
Domestic Violence	26	4.4%	9	17.0%	35	5.5%	24	24.7%	11	2.0%			
Dependency	26	4.4%	2	3.8%	28	4.4%	2	2.1%	26	4.8%			
Delinquency	8	1.4%	0	0.0%	8	1.2%	3	3.1%	5	0.9%			
CHINS	7	1.2%	0	0.0%	7	1.1%	2	2.1%	5	0.9%			
Family Total	113		25		138		49		89				
Quarter Caseload	588	100.0%	53	100.0%	641	100.0%	97	100.0%	544	100.0%			

KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	40	2.3%	6	1.3%	46	2.1%	12	2.7%	34	2.0%
Criminal	1,118	65.5%	126	27.2%	1,244	57.3%	114	25.6%	1,130	65.5%
Civil Traffic	80	4.7%	224	48.4%	304	14.0%	185	41.5%	119	6.9%
Criminal Traffic	279	16.3%	33	7.1%	312	14.4%	26	5.8%	286	16.6%
District Total	1,517		389		1,906		337		1,569	
Family Civil	87	5.1%	21	4.5%	108	5.0%	26	5.8%	82	4.8%
Domestic Violence	45	2.6%	44	9.5%	89	4.1%	64	14.3%	25	1.4%
Dependency	55	3.2%	4	0.9%	59	2.7%	13	2.9%	46	2.7%
Delinquency	2	0.1%	5	1.1%	7	0.3%	4	0.9%	3	0.2%
CHINS	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%
Family Total	191		74		265		109		156	
Quarter Caseload	1,708	100.0%	463	100.0%	2,171	100.0%	446	100.0%	1,725	100.0%

PUEBLO PINTADO COURT

O T Description of Flat Occasion Occasion Description												
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding		
Civil	7	2.8%	4	11.1%	11	3.9%	2	10.5%	9	3.4%		
Criminal	191	77.6%	7	19.4%	198	70.2%	1	5.3%	197	74.9%		
Civil Traffic	11	4.5%	11	30.6%	22	7.8%	4	21.1%	18	6.8%		
Criminal Traffic	7	2.8%	0	0.0%	7	2.5%	0	0.0%	7	2.7%		
District Total	216		22		238		7		231			
Family Civil	14	5.7%	1	2.8%	15	5.3%	2	10.5%	13	4.9%		
Domestic Violence	9	3.7%	11	30.6%	20	7.1%	10	52.6%	10	3.8%		
Dependency	7	2.8%	2	5.6%	9	3.2%	0	0.0%	9	3.4%		
Delinquency	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Family Total	30		14		44		12		32			
Quarter Caseload	246	100.0%	36	100.0%	282	100.0%	19	100.0%	263	100.0%		

RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT												
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding		
Civil	6	0.3%	1	1.1%	7	0.3%	3	2.2%	4	0.2%		
Criminal	447	22.0%	22	24.4%	469	22.1%	83	61.0%	386	19.4%		
Civil Traffic	1,356	66.8%	61	67.8%	1,417	66.8%	13	9.6%	1,404	70.7%		
Criminal Traffic	27	1.3%	0	0.0%	27	1.3%	27	19.9%	0	0.0%		
District Total	1,836		84		1,920		126		1,794			
Family Civil	118	5.8%	1	1.1%	119	5.6%	8	5.9%	111	5.6%		
Domestic Violence	57	2.8%	3	3.3%	60	2.8%	1	0.7%	59	3.0%		
Dependency	3	0.1%	2	2.2%	5	0.2%	1	0.7%	4	0.2%		
Delinquency	17	0.8%	0	0.0%	17	0.8%	0	0.0%	17	0.9%		
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Family Total	195		6		201		10		191			
Quarter Caseload	2,031	100.0%	90	100.0%	2,121	100.0%	136	100.0%	1,985	100.0%		

SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SHIF KOCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT													
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding			
Civil	111	4.1%	10	2.5%	121	3.9%	10	1.5%	111	4.5%			
Criminal	924	34.0%	95	23.8%	1,019	32.7%	133	20.5%	886	35.9%			
Civil Traffic	471	17.3%	158	39.6%	629	20.2%	148	22.8%	481	19.5%			
Criminal Traffic	436	16.1%	17	4.3%	453	14.5%	55	8.5%	398	16.1%			
District Total	1,942		280		2,222		346		1,876				
Family Civil	549	20.2%	31	7.8%	580	18.6%	47	7.3%	533	21.6%			
Domestic Violence	185	6.8%	79	19.8%	264	8.5%	244	37.7%	20	0.8%			
Dependency	10	0.4%	5	1.3%	15	0.5%	4	0.6%	11	0.4%			
Delinquency	19	0.7%	2	0.5%	21	0.7%	5	0.8%	16	0.6%			
CHINS	11	0.4%	2	0.5%	13	0.4%	2	0.3%	11	0.4%			
Family Total	774		119		893		302		591				
Quarter Caseload	2,716	100.0%	399	100.0%	3,115	100.0%	648	100.0%	2,467	100.0%			

TO'HAJIILEE COURT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Criminal	246	57.1%	4	15.4%	250	54.7%	1	4.8%	249	57.1%
Civil Traffic	77	17.9%	5	19.2%	82	17.9%	2	9.5%	80	18.3%
Criminal Traffic	16	3.7%	0	0.0%	16	3.5%	0	0.0%	16	3.7%
District Total	339		9		348		3		345	
Family Civil	39	9.0%	9	34.6%	48	10.5%	7	33.3%	41	9.4%
Domestic Violence	41	9.5%	8	30.8%	49	10.7%	11	52.4%	38	8.7%
Dependency	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
Delinquency	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
CHINS	6	1.4%	0	0.0%	6	1.3%	0	0.0%	6	1.4%
Family Total	92		17		109		18		91	
Quarter Caseload	431	100.0%	26	100.0%	457	100.0%	21	100.0%	436	100.0%

TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	41	1.9%	11	1.9%	52	1.9%	8	1.6%	44	1.9%
Criminal	1,494	68.4%	149	25.1%	1,643	59.2%	66	13.0%	1,577	69.6%
Civil Traffic	182	8.3%	312	52.6%	494	17.8%	320	62.9%	174	7.7%
Criminal Traffic	219	10.0%	20	3.4%	239	8.6%	10	2.0%	229	10.1%
District Total	1,936		492		2,428		404		2,024	
Family Civil	190	8.7%	24	4.0%	214	7.7%	34	6.7%	180	7.9%
Domestic Violence	34	1.6%	72	12.1%	106	3.8%	65	12.8%	41	1.8%
Dependency	11	0.5%	1	0.2%	12	0.4%	0	0.0%	12	0.5%
Delinquency	12	0.5%	4	0.7%	16	0.6%	6	1.2%	10	0.4%
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Family Total	247		101		348		105		243	
Quarter Caseload	2,183	100.0%	593	100.0%	2,776	100.0%	509	100.0%	2,267	100.0%

WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

THIS OF THE STATE													
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding			
Civil	734	12.1%	10	1.7%	744	11.2%	7	1.5%	737	11.9%			
Criminal	160	2.6%	18	3.1%	178	2.7%	37	8.0%	141	2.3%			
Civil Traffic	3,923	64.5%	401	69.9%	4,324	65.0%	248	53.3%	4,076	65.9%			
Criminal Traffic	35	0.6%	3	0.5%	38	0.6%	2	0.4%	36	0.6%			
District Total	4,852		432		5,284		294		4,990				
Family Civil	921	15.2%	63	11.0%	984	14.8%	131	28.2%	853	13.8%			
Domestic Violence	227	3.7%	71	12.4%	298	4.5%	38	8.2%	260	4.2%			
Dependency	72	1.2%	8	1.4%	80	1.2%	2	0.4%	78	1.3%			
Delinquency	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%			
CHINS	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%			
Family Total	1,227		142		1,369		171		1,198				
Quarter Caseload	6.079	100.0%	574	100.0%	6,653	100.0%	465	100.0%	6.188	100.0%			

NAVAJO NATION PROBATION SERVICES

NAVAGO NATION I ROBATION GERVIGEG												
Case Type	Brought F	Brought Forward		ed	Case	eload	Closed	Cases	Pending			
Adult Probation	224	33.6%	96	26.7%	320	31.2%	115	28.8%	205	32.7%		
Adult Parole	3	0.5%	5	1.4%	8	0.8%	2	0.5%	6	1.0%		
Adult Short-Term Probation	384	57.7%	225	62.5%	609	59.4%	255	63.9%	354	56.5%		
Adult Probation Total	611		326		937		372		565			
Juvenile Probation	14	2.1%	9	2.5%	23	2.2%	6	1.5%	17	2.7%		
Juvenile Short-Term Probation	41	6.2%	25	6.9%	66	6.4%	21	5.3%	45	7.2%		
Juvenile Probation Total	55		34		89		27		62			
Quarter Caseload	666	100.0%	360	100.0%	1,026	100.0%	399	100.0%	627	100.0%		

NAVAJO NATION PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

TAVAGO NA TON I EAGEMANNO I NOCKAM												
District	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding		
Alamo	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%		
Aneth	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	2	0.9%	2	2.1%	0	0.0%		
Chinle	26	20.6%	18	20.9%	44	20.8%	24	25.3%	20	17.1%		
Crownpoint	31	24.6%	5	5.8%	36	17.0%	1	1.1%	35	29.9%		
Dilkon	8	6.3%	15	17.4%	23	10.8%	15	15.8%	8	6.8%		
Dził Yijiin	9	7.1%	13	15.1%	22	10.4%	11	11.6%	11	9.4%		
Kayenta	8	6.3%	2	2.3%	10	4.7%	8	8.4%	2	1.7%		
Ramah	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%		
Shiprock	11	8.7%	2	2.3%	13	6.1%	11	11.6%	2	1.7%		
To'hajiilee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Tuba City	13	10.3%	18	20.9%	31	14.6%	18	18.9%	13	11.1%		
Window Rock	19	15.1%	10	11.6%	29	13.7%	5	5.3%	24	20.5%		
Quarter Caseload	126	100.0%	86	100.0%	212	100.0%	95	100.0%	117	100.0%		

X. JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

JUDICIAL BRANCH FY 2023 1st QUARTER BUDGETS vs EXPENDITURES - as of 12/31/22

The Judicial Branch receives operating funds from two main sources of continual appropriation to provide court services within the Navajo Nation.

A Navajo Nation General Fund. The 24th Navajo Nation Council approved Legislation 0152-22 the FY 2023 Comprehensives budget on 9/08/22. The NN President approved the FY 2023 Comprehensive budget per legislation CS-42-22 on 9/24/22. The Judicial Branch General Fund budget allocation is \$17,258,393 plus Indirect Cost Fund of \$127,836; General Wage Adjustment (GWA) of \$451,609; for a Grand Total of \$17,837,838 (Original Budget). The FY 2023 budget was revised to include FY 2022 Prior Year Encumbrance Carry over in the amount of \$59,375 for the following Business Units: BU 102001 @ \$57,875; and BU 102008 @ \$1,500. The budget was revised again on 12/15/22 to include FY 2022 General Fund Carryover into FY 2023 for 17 of 18 Business Units for various amounts, totaling \$1,041,790.87. The Revised Budget is now at \$18,939,003.87. The Judicial Branch's FY 2023 General Fund Budgets consists of eighteen (18) Business Units. Fixed Costs. The JB Fixed Costs allocation is \$350,000 for the NN Integrated Justice Information Sharing JB (NNIJIS.) This amount was revised to include FY 2022 Prior year encumbrance carry over in the amount of \$27,479.98. The revised budget for NNIJIS is \$377,479.98. As of 12/31/22 the Judicial Branch budgets and expenditures are as follows:

No. Code Program/Description Original Budget Revised Budget Actuals -YTD Encumbrances Budget Available of Total		Business							%
102001 Admin Office of the Courts	ļ.,		December (December)	Outstand Built	Boots d Boots	A-1	F	Designation of Assertance	Expensed
1930 Miscellaneous				Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Lincumbrances	Budget Available	of Iotal
1942	1	102001	Admin Office of the Courts						
1942		1930	Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0I
1992 DC Recovery									0.00%
1986 Allocation					(,,				13.40%
1000 Revenues									0.00%
3000-7000 Ceptating Expenses 16,1706.00 647,274.39 15,132.43 59,743.40 572,388.56 11,579 2000 Expenses 1,813,736.00 2,299,304.39 294,654.11 59,743.40 1,944,906.88 15,419 2000 Chinle Judicial District		1000	Revenues			(17,124.01)	0.00		0.74%
3000-7000 Ceptating Expenses 16,1706.00 647,274.39 15,132.43 59,743.40 572,388.56 11,579 2000 Expenses 1,813,736.00 2,299,304.39 294,654.11 59,743.40 1,944,906.88 15,419 2000 Chinle Judicial District									
2000 Expenses 1,813,736.00 2,299,304.39 294,654.11 59,743.40 1,944,906.88 15.419		2000	Personnel Expenses	1,652,030.00	1,652,030.00	279,521.68	0.00	1,372,508.32	16.92%
2 102002 Chinle Judicial District									11.57%
1942		2000	Expenses	1,813,736.00	2,299,304.39	294,654.11	59,743.40	1,944,906.88	15.41%
1942									
1996 Allocation	2	102002	Chinle Judicial District						
1996 Allocation	-	4040	Drive Vees Com. Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DI\//OI
1000 Revenues	-		3		0.00		0.00		
2001 Personnel Expenses 1,109,639.00 1,109,639.00 130,386.61 0.00 979,252.39 11.759 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,279.00 102,279.00 9,150.99 0.00 33,128.01 8.959 2000 Expenses 1,211,918.00 1,211,918.00 139,537.60 0.00 1,072,380.40 11.519 1.5	-								
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,279.00 102,279.00 9,150.99 0.00 33,128.01 8.95% 2000 Expenses 1,211,918.00 1,211,918.00 139,537.60 0.00 1,072,380.40 11.519		1000	Iteveriues	(1,211,910.00)	(1,211,910.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,211,910.00)	0.0078
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,279.00 102,279.00 9,150.99 0.00 33,128.01 8.95% 2000 Expenses 1,211,918.00 1,211,918.00 139,537.60 0.00 1,072,380.40 11.519		2001	Personnel Expenses	1 109 639 00	1 109 639 00	130 386 61	0.00	979 252 39	11.75%
2000 Expenses 1,211,918.00 1,211,918.00 139,537.60 0.00 1,072,380.40 11.519						,		,	8.95%
1942									11.51%
1942			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , ,			/- /	
1996 Allocation	3	102003	Crownpoint Judicial District						
1996 Allocation									
1000 Revenues (1,092,239.00) (1,101,870.56) 0.00 0.00 (1,101,870.56) 0.009		1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(9,631.56)	0.00	0.00	(9,631.56)	0.00%
2001 Personnel Expenses 1,053,058.00 1,053,058.00 143,198.13 0.00 909,859.87 13.60% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 39,181.00 48,812.56 7,760.85 0.00 41,051.71 15.90% 2000 Expenses 1,092,239.00 1,101,870.56 150,958.98 0.00 950,911.58 13.70% 4 102004 Window Rock Judicial District			Allocation						0.00%
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 39,181.00 48,812.56 7,760.85 0.00 41,051.71 15.90%		1000	Revenues	(1,092,239.00)	(1,101,870.56)	0.00	0.00	(1,101,870.56)	0.00%
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 39,181.00 48,812.56 7,760.85 0.00 41,051.71 15.90%									
2000 Expenses 1,092,239.00 1,101,870.56 150,958.98 0.00 950,911.58 13.70%	_		·			,		,	
4 102004 Window Rock Judicial District 1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (45,353.08) 0.00 0.00 (45,353.08) 0.00° 1996 Allocation (1,344,492.00) (1,344,492.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,344,492.00) 0.00° 1000 Revenues (1,344,492.00) (1,389,845.08) 0.00 0.00 (1,389,845.08) 0.00° 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,264,923.00 163,405.13 0.00 1,101,517.87 12.92° 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 79,569.00 12,922.08 4,454.36 710.10 119,757.62 4.13° 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0! 2000 Expenses 1,344,492.00 1,389,845.08 167,859.49 710.10 1,221,275.49 12.13° 5 102005 Shiprock Judicial District 1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00 0.00 (44,795.17) <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-								
1942	-	2000	Expenses	1,092,239.00	1,101,870.56	150,958.98	0.00	950,911.58	13.70%
1942	1	102004	Window Pock Judicial District						
1996 Allocation	-	102004	William Nock Judicial District						
1996 Allocation		1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(45.353.08)	0.00	0.00	(45.353.08)	0.00%
2001 Personnel Expenses 1,264,923.00 1,264,923.00 163,405.13 0.00 1,101,517.87 12.92% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 79,569.00 124,922.08 4,454.36 710.10 119,757.62 4.13% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 \$\frac{4}{1}.000 \text{Total Outlay}\$ 2000 Expenses 1,344,492.00 1,389,845.08 167,859.49 710.10 1,221,275.49 12.13% 5 102005 Shiprock Judicial District 1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00% 1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 \$\frac{4}{1}.000 \text{PIDIV/0!}									0.00%
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 79,569.00 124,922.08 4,454.36 710.10 119,757.62 4.139 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0! 2000 Expenses 1,344,492.00 1,389,845.08 167,859.49 710.10 1,221,275.49 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 13		1000	Revenues	(1,344,492.00)	(1,389,845.08)	0.00	0.00	(1,389,845.08)	0.00%
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 79,569.00 124,922.08 4,454.36 710.10 119,757.62 4.139 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0! 2000 Expenses 1,344,492.00 1,389,845.08 167,859.49 710.10 1,221,275.49 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 12.139 13				1					
9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0! 2000 Expenses 1,344,492.00 1,389,845.08 167,859.49 710.10 1,221,275.49 12.13% 5 102005 Shiprock Judicial District 1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00% 1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.88% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!		2001	Personnel Expenses	1,264,923.00	1,264,923.00	163,405.13	0.00	1,101,517.87	12.92%
2000 Expenses 1,344,492.00 1,389,845.08 167,859.49 710.10 1,221,275.49 12.139		3000-7000	Operating Expenses	79,569.00	124,922.08	4,454.36	710.10	119,757.62	4.13%
5 102005 Shiprock Judicial District 1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00% 1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00			Capital Outlay						
1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00% 1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!		2000	Expenses	1,344,492.00	1,389,845.08	167,859.49	710.10	1,221,275.49	12.13%
1942 Prior Year Carry Over 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00 0.00 (44,795.17) 0.00% 1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!									
1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!	5	102005	Shiprock Judicial District						
1996 Allocation (1,277,551.00) (1,277,551.00) 0.00 0.00 (1,277,551.00) 0.00% 1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!		4040	Drive Vees Com. O	0.00	(44.705.47)	0.00	0.00	(44.705.47)	0.000/
1000 Revenues (1,277,551.00) (1,322,346.17) 0.00 0.00 (1,322,346.17) 0.00% 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	-								
2001 Personnel Expenses 1,175,439.00 1,175,439.00 176,487.25 0.00 998,951.75 15.01% 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!									
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!		1000	neverlues	(1,277,551.00)	(1,322,340.17)	0.00	0.00	(1,322,340.17)	0.00%
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 102,112.00 146,907.17 7,348.14 8,651.63 130,907.40 10.89% 9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!		2001	Personnel Expenses	1 175 439 00	1 175 439 00	176 487 25	0.00	998 951 75	15 01%
9000 Capital Outlay 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 #DIV/0!			·						10.89%
					-,,	,		,	
$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}$		2000	Expenses	1,277,551.00	1,322,346.17	183,835.39	8,651.63	1,129,859.15	14.56%

No.	Business Unit/Object Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
6	102006	Tuba City Judicial District	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - 11D	Encumbrances	Budget Available	or rotal
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(30,009.94)	0.00	0.00	(30,009.94)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(1,174,749.00)	(1,174,749.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,174,749.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(1,174,749.00)	(1,204,758.94)	0.00	0.00	(1,204,758.94)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	1,073,533.00	1,073,533.00	146,710.56	0.00	926,822.44	13.67%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	101,216.00	131,225.94	24,001.18	3,541.58	103,683.18	20.99%
	2000	Expenses	1,174,749.00	1,204,758.94	170,711.74	3,541.58	1,030,505.62	14.46%
7	102007	Ramah Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(10,979.88)	0.00	0.00	(10,979.88)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(675,746.00)	(675,746.00)	0.00	0.00	(675,746.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(675,746.00)	(686,725.88)	0.00	0.00	(686,725.88)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	644,627.00	644,627.00	116,009.49	0.00	528,617.51	18.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	31,119.00	42,098.88	10,978.15	807.19	30,313.54	27.99%
	2000	Expenses	675,746.00	686,725.88	126,987.64	807.19	558,931.05	18.61%
8	102008	Supreme Court						
,	. 5200	Cup. onio Gourt						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(59,766.12)	0.00	0.00	(59,766.12)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(1,556,906.00)	(1,556,906.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,556,906.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(1,556,906.00)	(1,616,672.12)	0.00	0.00	(1,616,672.12)	0.00%
	2004	Demonstration of the second	4 420 047 00	4 420 047 00	202 402 04	0.00	4 007 004 40	4.4.040/
	2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses	1,439,817.00 117,089.00	1,439,817.00 176,855.12	202,182.84 16,885.36	0.00 2,333.70	1,237,634.16 157,636.06	14.04% 10.87%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	1,556,906.00	1,616,672.12	219,068.20	2,333.70	1,395,270.22	13.69%
	2000	- Aponoco	1,000,000.00	1,010,012.12	2.0,000.20	2,000.70	1,000,210122	10.0070
9	102009	Peacemaking Program						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(144,441.83)	0.00	0.00	(144,441.83)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(1,426,856.00)	(1,426,856.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,426,856.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(1,426,856.00)	(1,571,297.83)	0.00	0.00	(1,571,297.83)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	1,262,963.00	1,262,963.00	199,978.89	0.00	1,062,984.11	15.83%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	158,893.00	183,334.83	11,702.94	0.00	171,631.89	6.38%
	9000	Capital Outlay	5,000.00	125,000.00	0.00	0.00	125,000.00	0.00%
	2000	Expenses	1,426,856.00	1,571,297.83	211,681.83	0.00	1,359,616.00	13.47%
40	100010	Manager Indial District						
10	102010	Kayenta Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(43.245.82)	0.00	0.00	(43,245.82)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(938,067.00)	(938,067.00)	0.00	0.00	(938,067.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(938,067.00)	(981,312.82)	0.00	0.00	(981,312.82)	0.00%
	0004	D	040.074.00	040.074.00	111015 17	0.00	700 050 50	40 500/
	2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses	843,974.00 94,093.00	843,974.00 137,338.82	114,615.47 8,834.98	0.00	729,358.53 128,503.84	13.58%
	2000	Operating Expenses Expenses	938,067.00	981,312.82	123,450.45	0.00	857,862.37	6.43% 12.58%
	2000	ZAPONOGO	000,001.100	001,012.02	120, 100. 10	0.00	001,002.01	12.0070
11	102011	Dilkon Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(81,280.75)	0.00	0.00	(81,280.75)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(855,231.00)	(855,231.00)	0.00	0.00	(855,231.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(855,231.00)	(936,511.75)	0.00	0.00	(936,511.75)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	799,982.00	799,982.00	134,038.67	0.00	665,943.33	16.76%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses Expenses	55,249.00 855,231.00	136,529.75	4,330.76 138,369.43	0.00	132,198.99	3.17%
	2000		655,231.00	936,511.75	130,309.43	0.00	798,142.32	14.77%
12	102012	Aneth Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(29,320.40)	0.00	0.00	(29,320.40)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(682,407.00)		0.00	0.00	(682,407.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(682,407.00)	(711,727.40)	0.00	0.00	(711,727.40)	0.00%
		Personnel Expenses	599,144.00	599,144.00	91,339.72	0.00	507,804.28	15.25%
	2001							
	2001 3000-7000	Operating Expenses	83,263.00	112,583.40	4,996.95	4,918.16	102,668.29	8.81%

No.	Business Unit/Object Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
13	102013	Tohajiilee Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(49,251.44)	0.00	0.00	(49,251.44)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(685,796.00)	(685,796.00)	0.00	0.00	(685,796.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(685,796.00)	(735,047.44)	0.00	0.00	(735,047.44)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	638,959.00	638,959.00	118,180.38	0.00	520,778.62	18.50%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	46,837.00	96,088.44	5,794.89	0.00	90,293.55	6.03%
	2000	Expenses	685,796.00	735,047.44	123,975.27	0.00	611,072.17	16.87%
14	102014	Alamo Judicial District		·	·			
			0.00	(40,000,00)		0.00	(40.000.00)	0.000
	1942 1996	Prior Year Carry Over Allocation	(266.381.00)	(16,696.08) (266,381.00)	0.00	0.00	(16,696.08) (266,381.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(266,381.00)	(283,077.08)	0.00	0.00	(283,077.08)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	260,955.00	260,955.00	29,931.44	0.00	231,023.56	11.47%
	3000-7000 2000	Operating Expenses Expenses	25,426.00 286,381.00	42,122.08 303,077.08	2,361.61 32,293.05	0.00	39,760.47 270,784.03	5.61% 10.66%
		·	200,361.00	303,077.06	32,293.05	0.00	270,764.03	10.00%
15	102015	Dzil Yiijin Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(4,568.30)	0.00	0.00	(4,568.30)	
	1996 1000	Allocation Revenues	(510,845.00) (510,845.00)	(510,845.00)	0.00	0.00	(510,845.00) (515,413.30)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(510,845.00)	(515,413.30)	0.00	0.00	(515,413.30)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	457,333.00	457,333.00	84,004.06	0.00	373,328.94	18.37%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	53,512.00	58,080.30	4,404.87	223.50	53,451.93	7.97%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	510,845.00	515,413.30	88,408.93	223.50	426,780.87	17.20%
16	102017	Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(11,136.97)	0.00	0.00	(11,136.97)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(203,381.00)	(203,381.00)	0.00	0.00	(203,381.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(203,381.00)	(214,517.97)	0.00	0.00	(214,517.97)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	190,625.00	190,625.00	11,174.32	0.00	179,450.68	5.86%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	12,756.00	23,892.97	421.91	163.00	23,308.06	2.45%
	2000	Expenses	203,381.00	214,517.97	11,596.23	163.00	202,758.74	5.48%
17	102018	Probation Services						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(22,644.60)	0.00	0.00	(22,644.60)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(2,021,442.00)	(2,021,442.00)	0.00	0.00	(2,021,442.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(2,021,442.00)	(2,044,086.60)	0.00	0.00	(2,044,086.60)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	1,816,042.00	1,816,042.00	284,526.80	0.00	1,531,515.20	15.67%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	205,400.00	228,044.60	18,296.76	2,732.44	207,015.40	9.22%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	2,021,442.00	2,044,086.60	302,823.56	2,732.44	1,738,530.60	14.95%
18	102019	Judicial Conduct Commission						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(12,475.54)	0.00	0.00	(12,475.54)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(80,095.00)	(80,095.00)	0.00		(80,095.00)	
	1000	Revenues	(80,095.00)	(92,570.54)	0.00		(92,570.54)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	51,480.00	51,480.00	847.12	0.00	50,632.88	1.65%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	28,615.00	41,090.54	754.22	0.00	40,336.32	1.84%
	2000	Expenses	80,095.00	92,570.54	1,601.34	0.00	90,969.20	1.73%
lud	icial Pranch	General Fund Total:	17 927 929 00	18,939,003.87	2,584,149.91	83,824.70	16,271,029.26	14.09%
			17,837,838.00	10,939,003.67	2,304,149.91	03,024.70	10,271,029.26	14.09%
Ove	rall Breakdo	wn of General Funds:						
	1930	Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(1,101,165.87)				
	1992	IDC Recovery	(127,836.00)	(127,836.00)	(17,124.01)		(110,711.99)	13.40%
	1996 1000	Allocation Revenues	(17,690,002.00) (17,817,838.00)	(17,690,002.00) (18,919,003.87)	0.00 (17,124.01)	0.00	(17,690,002.00) (18,901,879.86)	0.00%
	1000	1 to to tuo	(17,017,000.00)	(10,010,000.07)	(17,124.01)	0.00	(10,501,079.00)	0.0370
	2000	Personnel Expenses	16,334,523.00	16,334,523.00	2,426,538.56	0.00	13,907,984.44	14.86%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	1,498,315.00	2,479,480.87	157,611.35	83,824.70	2,238,044.82	9.74%
	9000	Capital Outlay	5,000.00	125,000.00	0.00	0.00	125,000.00	0.00%
	2000	Expenses	17,837,838.00	18,939,003.87	2,584,149.91	83,824.70	16,271,029.26	14.09%

	Business							- %
No.	Unit/Object Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Fncumbrances	Budget Available	Expensed of Total
19	118019	NN Integrated Justice (Fixed Costs)	original Daugot	nones Dauger	71010010		- Lauget / transacto	o. rota:
		,						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(27,479.98)	0.00	0.00	(27,479.98)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1000	Revenues	0.00	(27,479.98)	0.00	0.00	(27,479.98)	0.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	350,000.00	377,479.98	33,305.40	(54,127.52)	398,302.10	-5.52%
	2000	Expenses	350,000.00	377,479.98	33,305.40	(54,127.52)	398,302.10	-5.52%
Ovo	rall Praakda	wn of General Funds Plus NN Integrated	L luctice Fixed C	octo				
OVE	Ian breakuo	will of General Funds Flus NN Integrated	Justice Fixed C	Osis.				
	1930	Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(1,128,645.85)	0.00	0.00	(1,128,645.85)	0.00%
	1992	IDC Recovery	(127,836.00)	(127,836.00)	(17,124.01)	0.00	(110,711.99)	13.40%
	1996	Allocation	(17,690,002.00)	(17,690,002.00)	0.00	0.00	(17,690,002.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(17,817,838.00)	(18,946,483.85)	(17,124.01)	0.00	(18,929,359.84)	0.09%
	2000	Personnel Expenses	16,334,523.00	16,334,523.00	2,426,538.56	0.00	13,907,984.44	14.86%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	1,848,315.00	2,856,960.85	190,916.75	29,697.18	2,636,346.92	7.72%
	9000	Capital Outlay	5,000.00	125,000.00	0.00	0.00	125,000.00	0.00%
	2000	Expenses	18,187,838.00	19,316,483.85	2,617,455.31	29,697.18	16,669,331.36	13.70%

B. 'The Judicial Branch currently has twenty-four (24) External Fund Budgets as follows: (1) K170801 Peacemaking Youth Edu. Apprentices Contract Term 10/1/17 - 9/30/22; (2) K180800 Navajo Juvenile Healing to Wellness Court, Contract Term 10/1/17 - 9/30/22; (3) K170802 FY 2017 Edward Byrne JAG, Contract Term 10/1/16 - 9/30/22; (4) K180801 FY '18 New Path Reentry, Contract Term 10/01/18 - 9/30/22; (5) K180802 NN Wellness Courts, Contract Term 10/1/18 - 9/30/22; (6) K160800 FY '16 Edward Byrne JAG, Contract Term 10/1/15 - 9/30/19; (7) K180803 FY '18 Edward Bryne Jag, Contract Term 10/1/14 - 9/30/22; (8) K160736 CY 16 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/16 - 12/31/19; (9) K160781 CY 16 Judicial One Time Funding, Contract Term 1/1/16 - 12/31/19, (10) K170745 CY 17 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/17 -12/31/19; (11) K170748 CY 17 One Time Funding VAVA, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/18; (12) K180718 CY 18 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/18 - 12/31/22; (13) K180772 CY 18 One Time Direct TIWAHE Funding Contract Term 1/1/18 - 12/31/22; (14) K190723 CY 19 Tribal Courts. Contract Term 1/1/19 - 12/31/22; (15) K190778 CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds, Contract Term 1/1/19 - 12/31/22; (16) K200713 CY 20 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/22; (17) K200744 CY 20 One Time Funds VAWA, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/22; (18) K200791 CY 20 One time Fund DAPA CW, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/22; (19) K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/21-12/31/22; (20) K210758 Tribal Courts Program - ARPA, Contract Term 1/1/21 -12/31/22; (21) K220710 CY'22 Tribal Courts Program, Contract Term 1/1/21 - 12/31/22 (22) K220740 CY'22 Domestic Abuse Protection/Child Welfare, Contract Term 01/1/20 - 12/31/22; (23) K201506 US Treasury - Judicial Branch (CARES ACT), Contract Term 8/26/20 - 12/30/20, extended to 12/30/21 and (24) K211518 US Treasury (ARPA), Contract Term 1/1/21 to 12/31/22. There are fifteen (15) Public Law 93-638 Indian Self-Determination Act, multiyear contracts with the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) to provide court services within the Navajo Nation.

No.		Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
1	K170801	Peacemaking Youth EDU-Apprentices						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	0.00	106,658.00	11,646.50	0.00	95,011.50	10.92%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	302,448.00	195,660.00	65,576.65	0.00	130,083.35	33.52%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	47,182.00	47,312.00	12,085.44	0.00	35,226.56	25.54%
	2000	Expenses	349,630.00	349,630.00	89,308.59	0.00	260,321.41	25.54%
2	K180800	Navajo Juvenile Healing To Wellness (Court					
	2001	Personnel Expenses	106,185.00	106,185.00	15,609.35	0.00	90,575.65	14.70%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	196,583.00	196,583.00	10,866.06	0.00	185,716.94	5.53%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	47,232.00	47,232.00	4,192.70	0.00	43,039.30	8.88%
	2000	Expenses	350,000.00	350,000.00	30,668.11	0.00	319,331.89	8.76%
3	K170802	FY 17 Edward Byrne JAG						
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	64,537.00	64,536.00	0.00	0.00	64,536.00	0.00%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	6,453.00	6,454.00	0.00	0.00	6,454.00	0.00%
	2000	Expenses	70,990.00	70,990.00	0.00	0.00	70,990.00	0.00%
4	K180801	FY '18 New Path Reentry						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	59,902.00	208,259.00	0.00	0.00	208,259.00	0.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	113,033.00	385,906.00	405.06	0.00	385,500.94	0.10%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	27,065.00	105,835.00	64.20	0.00	105,770.80	0.06%
	2000	Expenses	200,000.00	700,000.00	469.26	0.00	699,530.74	0.07%
5	K180802	NN Wellness Courts						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	365,197.00	365,197.00	5,265.35	0.00	359,931.65	1.44%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	283,311.00	283,311.00	563.67	0.00	282,747.33	0.20%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	101,492.00	101,492.00	923.90	0.00	100,568.10	0.91%
	2000	Expenses	750,000.00	750,000.00	6,752.92	0.00	743,247.08	0.90%

6	K160800	FY 16 Edward Byrne JAG						
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	46,301.00	44,392.00	43,115.52	0.00	1,276.48	97.12%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	2,530.00	4,439.00	4,311.56	0.00	127.44	97.13%
	2000	Expenses	48,831.00	48,831.00	47,427.08	0.00	1,403.92	97.12%
_								
7	K180803	FY 18 Edward Byrne JAG	00 504 00	00 504 00	0.00	0.00	00 504 00	0.000/
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	99,581.00	99,581.00	0.00	0.00	99,581.00	0.00%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	9,958.00	9,958.00	0.00	0.00	9,958.00	0.00%
	2000	Expenses	109,539.00	109,539.00	0.00	0.00	109,539.00	0.00%
		Judicial Branch External Funds	\$1,878,990.00	\$2,378,990.00	\$174,625.96	\$0.00	\$2,204,364.04	7.34%
	1//00=00	27.12 = 11.12						
8	K160736	CY 16 Tribal Courts	4 00 4 70 4 00	040 700 44	040 700 44	0.00	0.00	400.000/
	2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses	1,334,724.00	949,782.11	949,782.11 680,813.45	0.00	0.00	100.00% 100.00%
	9000	Operating Expenses Capital Outlay	101,577.00	680,813.45 95,175.49	95,175.49	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	2000	Expenses	1,436,301.00	1,725,771.05	1,725,771.05	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	2000	Expenses	1,430,301.00	1,725,771.05	1,725,771.05	0.00	0.00	100.00 /6
9	K160781	CY 16 Judicial One Time Funds						
·	2001	Personnel Expenses	276,511.00	280,169.60	280,169.60	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	16,305.00	12,646.40	12,646.40	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	2000	Expenses	292,816.00	292,816.00	292,816.00	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	Business		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				%
	Unit/Object				i l			Expensed
No.	Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	of Total
10	K170745	CY 17 Tribal Courts						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	770,471.00	1,358,721.44	1,357,608.08	0.00	1,113.36	99.92%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	53,563.00	232,055.56	232,055.56	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	2000	Expenses	824,034.00	1,590,777.00	1,589,663.64	0.00	1,113.36	99.93%
	1/470740	0V 47 0 - T' F I- VANA						
11	K170748	CY 17 One Time Funds VAWA	40.070.00	05 700 00	25 700 20	0.00	0.00	400.000/
	2001	Personnel Expenses	10,272.00	25,798.30	25,798.30	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	3000-7000 2000	Operating Expenses Expenses	79,728.00 90,000.00	64,201.70 90,000.00	64,201.70 90,000.00	0.00	0.00	100.00% 100.00%
	2000	Expenses	90,000.00	90,000.00	90,000.00	0.00	0.00	100.00%
12	K180718	CY 18 Tribal Courts						
12	2001	Personnel Expenses	258,684.00	1,559,353.06	1,559,111.78	0.00	241.28	99.98%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	10,933.00	111,361.94	111,361.94	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	2000	Expenses	269,617.00	1,670,715.00	1,670,473.72	0.00	241.28	
	2000	ZAPONOCO	200,011100	1,010,110.00	1,070,170.72	0.00	220	00.0070
13	K180772	CY 18 One Time Direct TIWAHE						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	272,340.00	275,815.10	271,595.74	0.00	4,219.36	98.47%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	23,488.00	20,012.90	19,496.13	0.00	516.77	97.42%
	2000	Expenses	295,828.00	295,828.00	291,091.87	0.00	4,736.13	98.40%
			,	,				
14	K190723	CY 19 Tribal Courts						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	262,524.00	1,436,572.56	1,436,000.07	0.00	572.49	99.96%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	8,119.00	249,247.44	223,361.35	15,636.76	10,249.33	95.89%
	2000	Expenses	270,643.00	1,685,820.00	1,659,361.42	15,636.76	10,821.82	99.36%
15	K190778	CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	277,245.00	278,655.00	255,404.94	0.00	23,250.06	91.66%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	48,061.00	46,651.00	11,234.69	411.88	35,004.43	24.97%
	2000	Expenses	325,306.00	325,306.00	266,639.63	411.88	58,254.49	82.09%
40	V200740	CV 20 Tribal Causta						
16	K200713	CY 20 Tribal Courts	270 055 00	1 600 454 50	1 600 010 05	0.00	7 220 54	00 570/
	2001	Personnel Expenses	272,055.00	1,688,151.59	1,680,812.05	0.00	7,339.54	99.57%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	51,130.00	214,889.41	71,091.75	56,714.67	87,082.99	59.48%
	2000	Expenses	323,185.00	1,903,041.00	1,751,903.80	56,714.67	94,422.53	95.04%
17	K200744	CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA						
.,	2001	Personnel Expenses	80,927.00	103,196.00	102,463.96	0.00	732.04	99.29%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	104,265.00	81,996.00	50,170.51	0.00	31,825.49	61.19%
	2000	Expenses	185,192.00	185,192.00	152,634.47	0.00	32,557.53	82.42%
			.00,102.00	.55,152.50	.52,551. 17	3.30	52,007.00	J 12 /0
18	K200791	CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA C	w					
	2001	Personnel Expenses	478,643.00	516,574.66	481,048.06	0.00	35,526.60	93.12%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	47,200.00	9,268.34	4,076.38	0.00	5,191.96	43.98%
	2000	Expenses	525,843.00	525,843.00	485,124.44	0.00	40,718.56	92.26%
	1	,	2,2.2.30	2,012.30		2.30	5, 5.50	
19	K210722	CY 21 Tribal Courts						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	273,047.00	1,621,107.87	1,541,229.74	0.00	79,878.13	95.07%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	16,878.00	250,314.13	40,662.66	32,573.01	177,078.46	29.26%
	0000	Capital Outlay	0.00	102,000.00	0.00	0.00	102,000.00	0.00%
	9000	Capital Callay	0.00	102,000.00			.02,000.00	

20	K210758	CY 21 Tribal Courts Program - ARPA						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	35,933.00	35,933.00	0.00	0.00	35,933.00	0.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	673,067.00	673,067.00	71,145.08	61,471.94	540,449.98	19.70%
	9000	Capital Outlay	291,000.00	291,000.00	12,175.04	0.00	278,824.96	4.18%
	2000	Expenses	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	83,320.12	61,471.94	855,207.94	14.48%
21	K220710	CY '22 Tribal Courts Program						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	253,732.00	1,865,990.38	1,282,989.19	0.00	583,001.19	68.76%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	8,575.00	206,684.62	7,246.88	32,787.04	166,650.70	19.37%
	2000	Expenses	262,307.00	2,072,675.00	1,290,236.07	32,787.04	749,651.89	63.83%
22	K220740	CY'22 DOM ABUSE PRTCN/CHLD WELF						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	582,319.00	582,319.00	325,174.56	0.00	257,144.44	55.84%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	71,095.00	71,095.00	1,770.94	0.00	69,324.06	2.49%
	2000	Expenses	653,414.00	653,414.00	326,945.50	0.00	326,468.50	50.04%
		Total P.L. 93-638 Funds:	\$7,044,411.00	\$15,990,620.05	\$13,257,874.13	\$199,595.30	\$2,533,150.62	84.16%
	Judicial Brand	ch External Funds & P.L. 93-638 Grand Total:	\$8,923,401.00	\$18,369,610.05	\$13,432,500.09	\$199,595.30	\$4,737,514.66	74.21%
								0/
	Business Unit/Object							% Expensed
No.	Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	of Total
							- J	
23	K201506	US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (CARE					<u> </u>	
23	2001	Personnel Expenses	334,029.00	61,242.57	60,719.74	0.00	522.83	99.15%
23	2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00	1,871,924.41	1,805,550.00	0.00	66,374.41	99.15% 96.45%
23	2001 3000-7000 9000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00	1,871,924.41 0.00	1,805,550.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	66,374.41 0.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0!
23	2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00	1,871,924.41	1,805,550.00	0.00	66,374.41	99.15% 96.45%
23	2001 3000-7000 9000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00	1,871,924.41 0.00	1,805,550.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	66,374.41 0.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0!
	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00	1,871,924.41 0.00	1,805,550.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	66,374.41 0.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0!
	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74	0.00 0.00 0.00	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54%
	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 272,786.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74	0.00 0.00 0.00	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54%
	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 .) 272,786.00 5,603,899.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84%
24	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000 9000 2000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00) 272,786.00 5,603,899.00 0.00 5,876,685.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00 10,000.00 5,876,685.00	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64 0.00 27,329.64	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36 7,208.00	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00 2,792.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84% 72.08%
24	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000 9000 2000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses wn of General Funds, NNIJ, External Funds	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 272,786.00 5,603,899.00 0.00 5,876,685.00 nds, P.L. 93-638 F	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00 10,000.00 5,876,685.00 unds and US Tre	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64 0.00 27,329.64	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36 7,208.00 82,845.36	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00 2,792.00 5,766,510.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84% 72.08% 1.87%
24	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 rall Breakdo	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses wn of General Funds, NNIJ, External Fun Personnel Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 272,786.00 5,603,899.00 0.00 5,876,685.00 nds, P.L. 93-638 F 22,912,049.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00 10,000.00 5,876,685.00 unds and US Tre 30,032,990.24	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64 0.00 27,329.64 asury 14,068,967.68	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36 7,208.00 82,845.36	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00 2,792.00 5,766,510.00	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84% 72.08% 1.87%
24	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 rall Breakdo 2000 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses wn of General Funds, NNIJ, External Fundersonnel Expenses Operating Expenses Operating Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 272,786.00 5,603,899.00 0.00 5,876,685.00 ands, P.L. 93-638 F 22,912,049.00 17,347,915.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00 10,000.00 5,876,685.00 unds and US Tre 30,032,990.24 14,517,058.15	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64 0.00 27,329.64 asury 14,068,967.68 3,745,658.77	0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36 7,208.00 82,845.36 0.00 304,929.84	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00 2,792.00 5,766,510.00 15,964,022.56 10,466,469.54	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84% 72.08% 1.87% 46.85% 27.90%
24	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 rail Breakdo 2000 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses wn of General Funds, NNIJ, External Fundersonnel Expenses Operating Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 272,786.00 5,603,899.00 0.00 5,876,685.00 nds, P.L. 93-638 F 22,912,049.00 17,347,915.00 2,119,911.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00 10,000.00 5,876,685.00 unds and US Tre 30,032,990.24 14,517,058.15 623,175.49	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64 0.00 27,329.64 asury 14,068,967.68 3,745,658.77 107,350.53	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36 7,208.00 82,845.36 0.00 304,929.84 7,208.00	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00 2,792.00 5,766,510.00 15,964,022.56 10,466,469.54 508,616.96	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84% 72.08% 1.87% 46.85% 27.90% 18.38%
24	2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 K211518 2001 3000-7000 9000 2000 rall Breakdo 2000 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses Capital Outlay Expenses wn of General Funds, NNIJ, External Fundersonnel Expenses Operating Expenses Operating Expenses	334,029.00 7,475,923.00 1,823,911.00 9,633,863.00 272,786.00 5,603,899.00 0.00 5,876,685.00 ands, P.L. 93-638 F 22,912,049.00 17,347,915.00	1,871,924.41 0.00 1,933,166.98 272,786.00 5,593,899.00 10,000.00 5,876,685.00 unds and US Tre 30,032,990.24 14,517,058.15	1,805,550.00 0.00 1,866,269.74 0.00 27,329.64 0.00 27,329.64 asury 14,068,967.68 3,745,658.77	0.00 0.00 0.00 75,637.36 7,208.00 82,845.36 0.00 304,929.84	66,374.41 0.00 66,897.24 272,786.00 5,490,932.00 2,792.00 5,766,510.00 15,964,022.56 10,466,469.54	99.15% 96.45% #DIV/0! 96.54% 0.00% 1.84% 72.08% 1.87% 46.85% 27.90%

XI. JUDICIAL BRANCH FINES AND FEES COLLECTION

	FY 2023 1st Qu	arter - Budge	t Status Repor	t as of 12/31/2	2 - BU 107008		
Object Code	Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
1400	Financial Revenues	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Oi Totai
1600	Fines & Court Fees	(450,000.00)	(450,000.00)	(80,643.20)	0.00	(369,356.80)	17.92%
1850	Other Revenue Sources	0.00	0.00	(140.00)	0.00	140.00	#DIV/0!
				, ,			
1000	Revenues	(\$450,000.00)	(\$450,000.00)	(\$80,783.20)	\$0.00	(\$369,216.80)	17.95%
	Cour	t Fines & Fee	s Collected by				
Object		FY 2023	FY 2023	FY 2023	FY 2023		
Code	Description	1st Qtr.	2nd Qtr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Qtr.	TOTAL - YTD	
1600	Fines & Court Fees						
1611	District Court - Chinle	628.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	628.65	
1612	District Court - Crownpoint	3,384.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,384.50	
1613	District Court - Kayenta	737.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	737.60	
1614	District Court - Ramah	1,690.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,690.00	
1615	District Court - Shiprock	523.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	523.70	
1616	District Court - Tuba City	2,815.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,815.70	
1617	District Court - Window Rock	444.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	444.40	
1618	District Court - Dilkon	115.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	115.00	
1619.02	District Court - Aneth	127.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	127.00	
1619.04	District Court - Dzil Yijiin	585.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	585.00	
1610	Dist. Fines & Court Fees Total:	\$11,051.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,051.55	
1620	Family						
1621	Family Court - Alamo	135.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	135.00	
1622	Family Court - Chinle	770.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	770.00	
1623	Family Court - Crownpoint	690.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	690.00	
1624	Family Court - Kayenta	540.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	540.00	
1625	Family Court - Ramah	35.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.00	
1626	Family Court - Shiprock	600.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	600.00	
1627	Family Court - Tohajiilee	115.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	115.00	
1628	Family Court - Tuba City	666.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	666.50	
1629	Family Court - Window Rock	1,720.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,720.00	
1630	Family Court - Dilkon	335.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	335.00	
1631.02	Family Court - Aneth	225.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	225.00	
1631.02	Family Court - Dzil Yijiin	630.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	630.00	
1620	Family Court Total:	\$6,461.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,461.50	
1640	· ·	\$0,401.30	φ0.00	φ0.00	φ0.00	φ0,401.50	
	Circuit Court Alama	60.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	
1642	Circuit Court - Alamo	69.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.20	
1644	Circuit Court - Tohajiilee	176.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	176.40	
1640 1650	Circuit Court Total: Supreme	\$245.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$245.60	
1652	Supreme Court - WR	480.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	480.00	
1650	Supreme Court Total:	\$480.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$480.00	
1601	Court Total:	\$18,238.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,238.65	

Object		FY 2023	FY 2023	FY 2023	FY 2023	
Code	Description	1st Qtr.	2nd Qtr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Qtr.	TOTAL - YTD
1660	Public Safety Fines					
1661	Traffic					
1662	Traffic Fines - Alamo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1663	Traffic Fines - Chinle	4,745.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,745.00
1664	Traffic Fines - Crownpoint	3,953.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,953.00
1665	Traffic Fines - Kayenta	14,442.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,442.00
1666	Traffic Fines - Ramah	1,690.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,690.00
1667	Traffic Fines - Shiprock	2,092.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,092.50
1668	Traffic Fines - Tohajiilee	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1669	Traffic Fines - Tuba City	14,012.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,012.00
1670	Traffic Fines - Window Rock	12,660.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12,660.00
1671	Traffic Fines - Dilkon	7,855.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,855.05
1672.02	Traffic Fines - Aneth	255.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	255.00
1672.04	Traffic Fines - Dzil Yijjin	700.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	700.00
1661	Traffic Total:	\$62,404.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$62,404.55
1682	Restitution	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1600	Fines & Court Fees Totals:	\$80,643.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$80,643.20
Judicial	District Court Fines & Fees Su	ımmary:				
1600	Fines & Court Fees	11,051.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	11,051.55
1620	Family	6,461.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,461.50
1640	Circuit	245.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	245.60
1650	Supreme	480.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	480.00
1661	Traffic	62,404.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	62,404.55
1682	Restitution	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Grand Totals:	\$80,643.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$80,643.20